

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 425/2026/ Filing No. 1779/2026)**

In re:

1. Endal Rajbhar, aged 60 years,
S/o Late Dukhu Rajbhar,
2. Jaswant Rai @ Kapildev Raj, aged 23 years,
S/o Endal Rajbhar,
3. Surendra Rajbhar @ Surendra Kumar, aged 30 years
S/o Jagdish Rajbhar,
4. Komal Rajbhar, aged 51 years,
S/o Sita Rajbhar @ Sitaram Rajbhar,
All R/o Vill-Ramgarh, P.S-Chainpur, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s
STATE

FIR No: 94/2026
P.S : Chainpur
U/s: 126(2),115(2),109,118(2),
352,351(2),3(5) BNS
Date Of Order:04.06.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Chandra Bhushan Tripathi, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioners and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioners have stated in para 2 of their bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. The brief facts of the case are that on dated 21.02.2026 at around 06:00 PM, the father of informant went to near by hill for defecation, in the meantime, Endal Rajbhar of his village came and started abusing him for an old enmity and when father of the informant objected, all the accused petitioners assaulted his father with sharp edged weapons and injured him.
 4. Ld. Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that they are innocent, have not committed any offence and have been falsely implicated in this case due to previous enmity. It is further submitted that informant and other family members have not seen the occurrence and these petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case on the basis of suspicion. It is further submitted that the father of informant fell down while cutting the tree and received injuries. It is further submitted that allegations against

these petitioners are general and omnibus and no case U/s 109 BNS is made out against them. It is, therefore, prayed that this bail petition may please be allowed.

5. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.
6. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. It transpires from the perusal of record that petitioners are FIR named and allegations against them are that they have assaulted the father of informant with sharp edged weapons and injured him due to previous enmity. The injury report of the injured is attached with case diary showing one lacerated injury over frontal region of head showing fracture of frontal bone and left frontal hemorrhagic cortical contusions with small EDH. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 28 of case diary. It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that allegations against these petitioners are general and omnibus and the injured received only one injury, so, no case U/s 109 BNS is made out against them.
7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of their arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, these petitioners shall be released on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag
Sessions Judge