

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,  
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA  
(BP No. 122/2026/ Filing No.1750/2026)**

In re:

1. Ganga Sah @ Ganga Prasad Gupta, aged 48 years,  
S/o Akalu Sah  
R/o Vill-Bhagwanpur, P.S-Bhagwanpur, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s  
STATE

**FIR No: 04/2026**  
**P.S : Bhagwanpur**  
**U/s: 103(1),305,61(2),3(5) BNS**  
**In J.C: 09.02.2026**  
**Date Of Order: 07.05.2026**

- 
1. Heard Sri Ajeet Kumar Singh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioner and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
  2. Petitioner has stated in para 2 of his bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
  3. Denying involvement of the petitioner in the commission of alleged occurrence, it is submitted that the petitioner had no occasion to commit murder of the deceased, moreover, no injury on the person of the deceased was found which shows that the deceased has not been murdered, moreover, none has seen the petitioner committed the actual murder of the deceased rather the petitioner has been falsely implicated on mere suspicion and no evidence showing complicity of the petitioner in the commission of alleged murder has been concluded by the IO.
  4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for granting of bail to the petitioner.
  5. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. It transpires from the perusal of record that petitioner is FIR named and in judicial custody since 09.02.2026. Allegation against him as per FIR that he along with other FIR named accused has killed the nephew of informant as per their preplanned conspiracy. Charge sheet against this petitioner has already been filed. It transpires from perusal

of post mortem report of the deceased that no any external injury was found on his body and the final opinion is reserved for viscera report. It is submitted on behalf of petitioner that his name is given on the basis of suspicion. It is further submitted that no injury mark was found on the body of the deceased and dead body was recovered after 6-7 days of the occurrence. It is further submitted that at the time of occurrence labour was working in the house of deceased. It is further submitted that the wife of deceased has recorded her statement mentioned para 35 of case diary in which she has stated that her husband was a diabetic and used to take insulin injection and he was also drug addict. It is further submitted that the tower location of the petitioner is mentioned in para 48 of case diary and at the time of occurrence the petitioner was present at village Zaitpur kala which is at on distance of 4-5 kilometer from the place of occurrence. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 83 of case diary.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and charge sheet against this petitioner has already been filed and he is judicial custody for around 3 months, this bail petition is **allowed** and the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties with like amount each to the satisfaction of the trial court.

Sd/- Anurag  
Sessions Judge