

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 415/2026/ Filing No. 1738/2026)**

In re:

1. Dhanpati Devi, aged 71 years,
W/o Shivdhari Bind,
2. Sarswati Devi, aged 63 years,
W/o Kameshwar Bind,
3. Pushpa Devi, aged 39 years,
W/o Narayan Bind,
4. Urmila Devi, aged 48 years,
W/o Ram Niwas Bind,
5. Shiv Kumar Bind, aged 72 years,
S/o Late Sahtu Bind,
R/o Vill- Sadaspur, P.S-Mohania, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s
STATE

FIR No: 519/2024
P.S : Mohania
Us:115,126(2),109,352,351(2),
351(3),3(5)BNS
Date Of Order:10.04.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Gopal Tiwari, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/ petitioners and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioners have stated in para 2 of their bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. Denying the allegations alleged against the petitioners, it has been submitted on their behalf that the petitioners are not named in the FIR rather their names have surfaced in the further statement of the informant in para 2 and in the statement of one witness in para 3 of the case diary and this case is the outcome of land dispute between the parties for which case and cross case bearing Mohania PS Case No. 518 of

2024, has been lodged, moreover, no case for the offence U/s. 109 of the BNS is made out and the injuries sustained by the injured persons have been opined by the doctor to be simple in nature, moreover, the allegation of assault is omnibus in nature, moreover, having similar situated allegations co-accused persons have been granted anticipatory bail by this court vide order passed in ABP No. 1176/24.

4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.
5. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. It transpires from the perusal of record that petitioners are not named in FIR and allegations against them as per FIR are that the accused persons including these petitioners were forcibly ploughing the informant's land and when she forbade them, all the accused persons beaten her and her son and injured them. The injury reports of both the injured are attached with the case diary showing injuries are simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance. The petitioners have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 22 of the case diary. Cross FIR is filed by them against each other related to this incident and both sides have received injuries.
6. Considering the aforesaid fact and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of their arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, these petitioners shall be released on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag.
Sessions Judge