

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 403/2026/ Filing No. 1656/2026)**

In re:

1. Puja Tiwari, aged 42 years,
W/o Chanrakant Tiwari,
2. Chanrakant Tiwari, aged 45 years,
S/o Banshidhar Tiwari,
Both R/o Vill-Akhalaspur, P.S-Bhabhua, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s
STATE

**FIR No: 206/2026
P.S : Bhabhua
U/s: 126(2),115(2),117(2),
109,352,351(2),3(5)BNS
Date Of Order:09.04.2026**

-
1. Heard Sri Chandra Bhushan Tripathi, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioners and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioners have stated in para 2 of their bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. Alleging false implication of the petitioners, it is submitted on their behalf that no such occurrence as alleged was ever taken place and the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this false case which finds support from the delay of three days caused in lodging the FIR, moreover, there is no specific allegations of overt act against the petitioners and although the injuries by the injured being displaced fractured of parietal bone, have been opined by the doctor to be simple in nature but the injuries are on non vital parts of the body and as such no case for the offence under section 109 BNS is made out.
 4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.
 5. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. It transpires from the perusal of record that petitioners are FIR named and allegations against

them as per FIR are that on dated 28.02.2026 at around 07:00AM, petitioner no. 2 was abusing the husband of informant and when she objected, all the FIR named accused including these petitioners came having lathi danda etc. in their hands and started assaulting the husband of informant and injured him. The injury report of the injured is attached with case diary showing pain and swelling on left hand and shoulder and lacerated wound on head and both the injuries are grievous in nature caused by hard blunt substance. It is submitted that the accusation of assaulting the husband of informant is against co-accused Utsav Tiwari who is not petitioner here as reflected from the statement of injured mentioned in para 3 of case diary. Allegations against these petitioners are general in nature. It is further submitted that cross case is lodged by the parties against each other related to this occurrence. Petitioner no. 2 is stated to have criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 3 of his bail petition and he is stated to be on bail in those cases.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of their arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, these petitioners shall be released on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag
Sessions Judge