

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-III,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA.**

A.B.P No. 405 of 2026

Jamila Khatun & Anr. vs. State of Bihar

19.05.2026	An anticipatory bail application filed on behalf of the petitioners, namely, 1. Jamila Khatun & 2. Nezat Sah who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Mohania P.S. Case No. 43/2026 registered for the offence punishable u/ss 126(2), 115(2), 74, 303(2), 352, 351(3), 3(5) of BNS. Heard Md. Firoz Alam, learned counsel on behalf of the petitioner and learned APP for the State. The case of the informant, in nutshell, is that on 08.01.2026 at about 04:00 PM while the son of the informant coming to his home from his agricultural fields, the petitioners along with four others surrounded him and started assaulting him. When the informant went to rescue his son, then Rayees sah attacked with lathi on the head of son of informant, and Jamila Khantun and Jugnu Khatun dragged the informant by pulling her hair and in the meantime Nizat Sah and his two sons outraged the modesty of the informant by removing her saree and Jamila Khatun snatched a gold chain worth of rupees 70,000/- from the neck of the informant. The learned advocate for the petitioners submitted that prior to this bail application no other anticipatory or regular bail petition is filed or moved on behalf of the petitioners either in this Court or before Hon'ble High Court. That the petitioner has no criminal antecedent. That all the sections alleged are bailable in nature except section 74, 303(2) of BNS which are super addition. The petitioners has already filed a complaint case no. 22/2026 in sub-divisional court, Mohania. That there is delay of 8 days in lodging FIR. The case of the prosecution is totally false and fabricated. On this submission there is prayer for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Learned APP vehemently opposes the prayer of the petitioners. Heard. Perused the case record and case diary. On perusal it appears that the instant case has been filed by Nazma Khatun. That the petitioners have no criminal antecedents. There is no specific allegation against the petitioner no. 2. There is no seizure list or
------------	--

Contd..P/2
19.05.2026

recovery made from conscious possession of the petitioners. There is a counter case as Complaint case no. 22/2026 filed by the petitioner sides against the informant. Considering all the aspects of this case the petitioners' anticipatory bail is ***allowed*** and it is ordered that the above named petitioners in the event of their arrest/surrender before the court below within four weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on ***furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two surety*** of like amount to the satisfaction of court below.

(Dictated)

Sd/-

(Vinay Prakash Tiwari)
District & Addl. Sessions Judge-III
Kaimur at Bhabhua.