

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 396/2026/ Filing No. 1626/2026)**

In re:

1. Satish Kumar @ Vinay Lal, aged 54 years,
S/o Sukhdev Lal,
2. Abhishek Kumar @ Abhishek Srivastav, aged 22 years,
S/o Satish Kumar,
Both R/o Mohalla Takiya, Ward No,-13 Bazar Smiti East, P.S- Sasaram, Dist-Rohtas
(Sasaram)

V/s
STATE

FIR No: 150/2025
P.S : Bhabua
U/s: 352,126(2),115(2),303(2)351(2),
190,109,191(2),191(3) BNS,
Date Of Order: 19.03.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Arvind Kumar Singh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/ petitioners and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioners have stated in para 2 of their bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. Denying the involvement of the petitioners in the commission of alleged occurrence, it is submitted on their behalf that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case due to land dispute between the informant and wife of the petitioners for which a title suit bearing no. 1023/2018 is pending for adjudication in the court of Sub Judge-1, Sasaram, however, admittedly, none has sustained fire arm injury and the allegation of pressing neck is concocted and imaginary and as such, the materials to constitute offence u/s 109 of the BNS is completely lacking while, the allegation of commission of theft is omnibus in nature and rest sections invoked in this case areailable.
 4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both the parties and perused the record. It transpires from the perusal of record that petitioners are FIR named and allegations against them as per FIR are that on dated 05.03.2025 the informant along with wife and two children went to Mudeshwari Devi temple and when he was returning to his house, these petitioners along with other FIR named accused have surrounded them near bridge at Suara river and thereafter, dragged him out and beaten him and they have taken valuables from the informant and his family members. It transpires on the perusal of para 12 of the case diary that no one was injured that scuffle, it is submitted on behalf of petitioners that land dispute is going on between the petitioners and the wife of informant and due to this reason, this false case is lodged by the informant against the petitioners on the basis of concocted story. The copy of civil case is also filed on record. Petitioners are stated to have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 3 of bail petition.
6. Considering the aforesaid fact and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of their arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, these petitioners shall be released on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag.
Sessions Judge