

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 393/2026/ Filing No. 1602/2026)**

In re:

1. Suman Devi, aged 54 years,
W/o Shivmandir Singh,
2. Kundan Patel, aged 35 years,
S/o Shivmandir Singh,
3. Shivmandir Singh, aged 59 years,
S/o Ramsurat Singh
4. Kajal Devi, aged 32 years,
D/o Shivmandir Singh,
All Both R/o Vill-Muri, P.S-Chainpur, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabua)
Present Address- Kalyan Bhivandi Sonali Road Yogi Sadan Building Flat No. 702 Floor
No.-7

V/s

STATE

FIR No: 1007/2025

P.S : Bhabhua

U/s: 126(2),85,115(2),352,351(2)

3(5) BNS & 3, 4 D.P. Act &

66(a) Information Technology Act

Date Of Order:27.07.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Prabhakar Kumar Dubey, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioners and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioners have stated in para 2 of their bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. The case of the prosecution is that the daughter of informant got married to petitioner no. 2 Kundan Patel on dated 02.05.2023 and after sometime of marriage, these petitioners started harassing and torturing the daughter of informant for dowry demands and finally moved her out of matrimonial house. She further alleged that husband of her daughter along with his family members hacked the mobile of her daughter and made several obscene videos of her daughter and posted it on social media.
 4. It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that they are innocent, have not committed any offence and have been falsely implicated in this case on the basis of concocted

story. It is further submitted that no such occurrence as alleged was taken place and this case is lodged to harass the petitioners. It is further submitted that the marriage was solemnized at Mumbai. So the territorial jurisdiction to file this case is at Mumbai. It is further submitted that no demands of dowry was ever been made nor any cruelty was committed to daughter of informant and she herself does not want to live with her husband. It is, therefore, prayed that this bail petition may please be allowed.

5. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.
6. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. It transpires from the perusal of record that petitioners are FIR named and allegations against them are that they have harassed and tortured the daughter of informant for dowry demands and finally moved her out of matrimonial house and posted the obscene video of daughter of informant on social media. It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that allegations against them are general and omnibus and earlier too a case bearing Mahila PS Case No. 57/2023 against the petitioners was filed under the Section 498A IPC by the daughter of informant. It is further submitted that allegations of posting compromising video on social media is baseless and no such document or pen drive is part of case diary or case record. Petitioners have criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 43 of case diary in which they are stated to be on bail.
7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of their arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, these petitioners shall be released on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag
Sessions Judge