

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(BP No. 144/2026/ Filing No.2141/2026)**

In re:

1. Vicky Paswan @ Vicky Kumar, aged 30 years,
S/o Rammurat Paswan
R/o Vill-Mohania, P.S-Mohania, Dist-Kaimur(Bhabhua)

V/s
STATE

FIR No: 983/2025
P.S : Mohania
U/s: 191(2), 190, 191(3), 103(1)
BNS
In J.C: 31.01.2025.
Date Of Order: 27.04.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Pramod Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioner and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioner has stated in para 2 of his bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. Alleging false implication of the petitioner, it is submitted on his behalf that the petitioner is largely residing in Varanasi and as such he has no participated in the commission of the alleged occurrence, moreover, the material showing the involvement of the petitioner in the commission of alleged occurrence, is completely missing and no specific allegation of overt act is attributed to the petitioner and thus it is prayed that the petitioner may be granted bail.
 4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for granting bail to the petitioner.
 5. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. It transpires from the perusal of record that petitioner is FIR named and in judicial custody since 31.01.2025. Allegations against him as per FIR that he along with other FIR named accused have assaulted the son of informant with sharp edged weapon and killed him on the date of occurrence. The post mortem report of the deceased is mentioned

in para 112 of case diary showing multiple sharp cut injuries over the body of the deceased and some of the injuries are on vital parts of the body and cause of death is due to hemorrhage and shock leading to cardio respiratory failure. It is submitted on behalf of prosecution that there is specific allegation against this petitioner of assaulting the son of informant with knife and killed him. Petitioner is stated to have criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 3 of his bail petition.

6. Considering aforesaid facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant them bail, hence, this bail petition is **dismissed**.

Sd/- Anurag
Sessions Judge