

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 390/2026/ Filing No. 1599/2026)**

In re:

1. Rahul Kumar Priyadashi @ Rahul Priyadarshi, aged 41 years,
S/o Kashi Nath Singh,
2. Sanjay Kumar Suman, aged 52 years,
S/o Late Raj Bansh Singh,
3. Khushbu Kumari, aged 26 years,
D/o Sanjay Kumar Suman,
4. Hira Muni Devi, aged 66 years,
W/o Kashi Nath Singh,
5. Brohansu @ Anuj Kumar, aged 27 years,
W/o Sanjay Kumar Suman,
6. Sandhya Devi, aged 47 years,
S/o Sanjay Kumar Suman,
7. Kashi Nath Singh, aged 68 years,
S/o Late Indradeo Singh,
8. Anu Kumari, aged 25 years,
D/o Paras Nath Singh
9. Paras Nath Singh, aged 56 years,
S/o Late Rajbansh Singh,
10. Sabita Kumar @ Sabita Devi, aged 49 years,
W/o Paras Nath Singh
All R/o Vill-Sonav, P.S-Kudra, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s

STATE

FIR No: 52/2026

P.S : Kudra

**U/s: 115(2),126(2),109(1),117(2),
191(2),191(3),352,351(2)BNS**

Date Of Order:16.04.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Ajit Kumar Singh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioners and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioners have stated in para 2 of their bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. Denying the allegation, it is submitted on behalf of the petitioners that both the parties are members of the same extended family and this case is the outcome of land

dispute regarding partition of property. Moreover, there is no specific allegation of overt act against the petitioners and no deadly weapon is alleged to have been used in the alleged incident and in fact the informant party assaulted the petitioners for which Kudra PS case no. 55 of 2026 has been lodged by the petitioners against the informant and in order to create the defence this false case has been filed, however, the injuries sustained by the injured are all simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance.

4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.
5. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. It transpires from the perusal of record that petitioners are FIR named and allegations against them as per FIR are that on dated 29.01.2026 at around 08:00 AM, all the accused petitioners being the gotiya of informant, have blocked the common passage with bamboo and he informed the police and when the police arrived at the place of occurrence, petitioners have assaulted the informant and his son with lathi danda, sharp edged weapon etc. and injured them in the presence of police. The injury report of informant is attached with case diary showing one lacerated injury over head which is simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 20 of case diary. It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that a quarrel was occurred between the parties who are gotiya with regard to common passage for which cross case is lodged by them against each other and both sides have received injuries during the scuffle. It is further submitted that allegations against these petitioners are general in nature, no such as overt act is alleged against anyone of them and from the nature of accusation read with injury report, no case under section 109 BNS is made out against them.
6. Considering the aforesaid fact and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of their arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, these petitioners shall be released on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag
Sessions Judge