

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 387/2026/ Filing No. 1578/2026)**

In re:

1. Santosh Kumar Rai, aged 45 years,
S/o Baleshwar Rai,
R/o Vill-Sukulpurwa, P.S-Sonhan, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s

STATE

FIR No: 10/2026

P.S : Kudra

**U/s: 318(4),316(2),115(2),126(2),
352,351(2)BNS**

Date Of Order:22.04.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Kaushal Pati Pandey, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/ petitioner and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioner has stated in para 2 of his bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. Denying the allegation levelled against the petitioner, it is submitted on his behalf that the petitioner never executed any agreement in favour of the informant in Mohania Court nor he ever issued any notice to him rather the petitioner transferred a piece of land situated in Allahabad for which the informant paid him Rs. 5,00,000/- out of the total consideration money of Rs. 11,00,000/- and later on brother-in-law of the petitioner returned the amount to the informant which was paid by the informant.
 4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
 5. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. It transpires from the perusal of record that petitioner is FIR named and allegations against him as per FIR are that petitioner being the relative of informant has taken Rs. 16,50,000/- from the informant under the garb of helping him for the purchase of land and an agreement dated 08.12.2023 regarding purchased of house at Kudra was also prepared but the petitioner has neither transferred the property in his name nor return the aforesaid amount and when he asked to the petitioner to return the money

on dated 07.01.2026 at around 08:00 AM, he started abusing and threatening the informant. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 13 of case diary. It is submitted on behalf of petitioner that he has not made any agreement with the informant, rather, petitioner has sold to informant 50 yards property at Prayagraj for Rs. 11,00,000/- and Rs. 5,00,000 was returned to the informant by the brother-in-law of this petitioner. It is further submitted that, moreover, it is a dispute between the parties related to business transaction which is purely civil in nature.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of his arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this petitioner shall be released on bail on his furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag
Sessions Judge