

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(BP No. 109/2026/ Filing No.1417/2026)**

In re:

1. Rahul Kumar, aged 22 years,
S/o Ramadhar Sah,
R/o Vill-Belaury, P.S-Mohania, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s
STATE

FIR No: 224/2025
P.S : Kudra
U/s: 309(4) BNS
In J.C: 03.02.2026
Date Of Order: 10.04.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Anil Kumar Singh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused /petitioner and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioner has stated in para 2 of his bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. Alleging false implication of the petitioner, it is submitted on his behalf that the petitioner is not named in the FIR rather, in course of investigation his name has appeared in confessional statement of co-accused recorded in connection with Kochas PS case No. 207/2025 and except that, there is no cogent material to show involvement of the petitioner in the commission of alleged robbery and the petitioner has been incarcerated in jail custody since 03.02.2026.
 4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for granting bail to the petitioner.
 5. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. FIR is against unknown. The name of this petitioner was surfaced during investigation as mentioned para 106 of case diary. He is in judicial custody since 03.02.2026. Allegations as per FIR are that on dated 20.05.2026 at around 09:45 AM, the informant was going with her minor daughter on motorcycle to his jewelry shop and when he reached

near Peepal tree at village Fuli three persons on blue color Apache motorcycle came and put country made weapon on him and snatched his back containing Rs. 50,000/- cash and jewelry articles and other documents, the accused persons have also snatched his mobile phone and taken away keys of his motorcycle. It is submitted on behalf of petitioner that he was not named in FIR and his name was surfaced in this case on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused and nothing incriminating article related to this case was recovered either from his possession or place. It is further submitted that there is no material against him in the entire case diary except the confessional statement of co-accused which has no evidentiary value. It is further submitted that no TIP of this petitioner was conducted. Petitioner is stated to have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 3 of his bail petition in which he is stated to be on bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances coupled with period of custody undergone, his bail petition is **allowed** and the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties with like amount each to the satisfaction of the trial court.

Sd/- Anurag
Sessions Judge