

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 349/2026/ Filing No. 1331/2026)**

In re:

1. Rohit Singh, aged 21 years,
S/o Satyendra Singh,
R/o Vill-Sonhan, P.S-Sonhan, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabua)
2. Azad Dom, aged 22 years,
S/o Sunny Dom,
R/o Vill-Bhabua Ward No. 7, Musafirtoli, P.S-Bhabua, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabua)
3. Rahul Singh, aged 23 years,
S/o Sharwan Singh,
R/o Vill-Sion, P.S-Bhabua, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s
STATE

FIR No: 141/2026
P.S : Bhabua
U/s: 126(2),115(2),109(1),118(1),
303(2),351(2),352,3(5)BNS
Date Of Order:09.04.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Rahul Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioners and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioners have stated in para 2 of their bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. Alleging false implication of the petitioners, it is submitted on their behalf that both, the informant and the petitioners are members of one friend circle and this case is the outcome of differences cropped up between the parties on trivial issues, however, petitioner no. 1 is alleged to be armed with fire arm but there is no allegation of firing which itself shows that had there been any intention on the part of the petitioners to kill the informant, they would have caused fire arm injury whereas the injury alleged to have been sustained by the injured has been opined by the doctor to be sole lacerated wound to which the doctor has opined to be simple in nature caused

by hard and blunt object and thus as submitted, no case under sections 109(1), and 118(1) is made out and the allegation of commission of theft is super addition.

4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.
5. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. It transpires from the perusal of record that petitioners are FIR named and allegations against them as per FIR are that on dated 12.02.2026, the marriage ceremony of sister of informant was going on at Ganesh Vatika, in the meantime, at around 01:00 AM in the night, two unknown persons entered the premises with intention of theft and entered the room in which the articles of his sisters were lying and both of them was apprehended and on inquiry, they disclosed their name as Rohit Singh and Azad Dom and they were handed over to the police. Thereafter, on dated 14.02.2026 the informant was returning from his uncles house at Bhabhua, when he reached near Ranvijay Chawk, six seven persons on three motorcycles surrounded him and Rohit Singh, Azad Dom and others have assaulted him and injured him. They have also snatched valuables from him. The injury report of the informant is mentioned in para 32 of case diary showing one lacerated wound over head which is simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance. Petitioners have criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 31 of case dairy in which they are stated to be on bail. It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that informant and petitioners belongs to common friend circle and no such incident as alleged was occurred. It is further submitted that from the nature of accusation as well as injury report, no case under section 109 BNS is made out against the petitioners and allegation of snatching valuables and money appears to be super addition.
6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of their arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, these petitioners shall be released on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag
Sessions Judge