

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(BP No. 98/2026/ Filing No. 1262/2026)**

In re:

1. Mohit Yadav, aged 25 years,
S/o Dhyan Singh,
R/o Vill- Saidpur, P.O.- Banda, P.S. Saidpur, Dist- Shahjahanpur (U.P.).

V/s
STATE

FIR No: 403/2025
P.S : Bhabua
U/s: 306,318(4) BNS
In J.C: 24.01.2026.
Date Of Order: 26.03.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Prahlad Singh, on behalf of the accused/petitioners and Sri Surendra Kumar Mishra, learned counsels appearing on behalf of the informant and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioner has stated in para 2 of his bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. Alleging false implication of the petitioner, it is submitted on his behalf that the petitioner has never taken nor the informant has ever handed over his harvester to the petitioner nor the impugned harvester as ever been recovered from the possession of the petitioner rather the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case on mere suspicion and the petitioner has been languishing in jail custody for two months.
 4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for grant of bail petition to the petitioner.
 5. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. It transpires from the perusal of record that petitioner is FIR named and in judicial custody since 24.01.2026 allegations against him as per FIR are that on dated 02.03.2025 this petitioner came to the house of informant and took his harvester bearing no. BR45GA1976 and did not return back the vehicle. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent in para 3 of bail petition. It transpires from para 26 of case diary that police

went to the house of petitioner and found harvester parked at his dalan & on inquiry this petitioner told that he has spent some money to repair the harvester and ask the informant to return the money and took his vehicle but he did not return back vehicle. It is submitted on behalf of petitioner that there was talk between informant and petitioner for the sale of harvester. Harvester was not handed over to the informant and further nothing has been recovered from the possession of this petitioner although police has taken his remand. It is further submitted on behalf of petitioner that he is in judicial custody for more than 02 months and charge-sheet against him has not yet been filed.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances coupled with period of custody undergone, this bail petition of this petitioner is **allowed** and the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties with like amount each to the satisfaction of the trial court. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag.
Sessions Judge