

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 310/2026/ Filing No. 1095/2026)**

In re:

1. Santosh Kumar, aged 22 years,
S/o Shailesh Ram,
2. Rohit Kumar, aged 19 years,
S/o Ravi Shankar Ram,
3. Rohit Ram, aged 20 years,
S/o Baleshwar Ram,
4. Ranjan Kumar @ Pintu Kumar, aged 22 years,
S/o Ramji Ram,
5. Krishna Ram, aged 21 years,
S/o Satya Narayan Ram,
6. Manish Kumar Ram, aged 23 years,
S/o Ramji Ram,
7. Raju Kumar Ram, aged 24 years,
S/o Balwan Ram @ Babban Ram,
8. Pratim Kumar Ram @ Pritam Kumar, aged 19 years,
S/o Santosh Ram,
9. Nitish Kumar Ram, aged 19 years,
S/o Ratan Ram,
All R/o Vill- Gajradhi, P.S- Kudra, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s
STATE

FIR No: 50/2026

P.S : Kudra

**U/s: 191(1),191(2),191(3),115(2),
126(2),109(1),303(2),352,
351(2) BNS**

Date Of Order: 26.03.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Prahlad Singh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioners and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioners have stated in para 2 of their bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.

3. Denying any such occurrence as alleged was ever taken place, it is submitted on behalf of the petitioners that they are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case however, in fact on the alleged date of occurrence the informant party assaulted the son of Lila Devi and committed theft and outraged the modesty of Lila Devi for which Lila Devi submitted an application before SC/ST police and due to this reason, this false case has been lodged against the petitioners however, there is no specific allegation of over act is against the petitioners.
4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.
5. Heard both the parties and perused the record. Petitioners are FIR named & allegations against them as per FIR are that on the date of occurrence, the informant was at his agriculture field and saw that some boys have hidden 2-3 sacks of paddy in his agriculture field and when he objected, all the FIR named accused along with other unknown persons came and started threatening and beaten him with lathi, danda and iron rod etc. It transpires from perusal of case diary that informant have received one head injury which is grievous in nature caused by hard and blunt substance. It is submitted on behalf of the petitioners that the informant has only one head injury and there are 10 name accused & no specific overt act is mentioned against anyone of them the allegations are general & omnibus. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 18 of the case diary.
6. It transpires from the perusal of para 11 of case diary that accused petitioner no. 3 Rohit Ram is aged about 16 years and is minor hence, his bail petition is **dismissed as not maintainable**.
7. So far as the, anticipatory bail of other accused concerned, allegation them are general in omnibus hence, their anticipatory bail allowed & in the event of their arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, these petitioners shall be released on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag.
Sessions Judge