

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 307/2026/ Filing No. 1091/2026)**

In re:

1. Putul Chaudhary @ Dhaneger Chaudhary, aged 41 years,
S/o Ramashankar Chaudhary,
2. Maneger Chaudhary, aged 32 years,
S/o Ramashankar Chaudhary,
Both R/o Vill-Godasara, P.S-Ramgarh, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabua)
3. Deepak Kushwaha, aged 25 years,
S/o Jaiprakash Kushwaha,
R/o Vill-Bandipur, P.S-Ramgarh, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s
STATE

FIR No: 45/2026
P.S : Ramgarh
U/s: 126(2),115(2),109(2),303(2),
3(5)BNS
Date Of Order:09.04.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Rahul Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioners and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioners have stated in para 2 of their bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. Alleging false implication of the petitioners, it is submitted on their behalf that this case is the outcome of differences cropped up between the parties on trivial issues which led to a minor scuffle between the parties for which case and cross case bearing Ramgarh PS Case no. 44 of 2026 has been lodged against the informant's son, moreover, there is no specific allegation of overt act against the petitioners and the allegation of theft is super addition.
 4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. It transpires from the perusal of record that petitioners are FIR named and allegations against them as per FIR are that on dated 11.02.2026 at around 09:00AM, the son of informant was returning to the house after attending coaching class at Ramgarh Bazar and when he reached near Godsarah river bridge, all the FIR named accused including these petitioners have stopped him and thereafter, assaulted him with iron rod, lathi danda etc. and injured him. The injury report of the injured is attached with case diary showing fracture of nasal bone which is grievous in nature caused by HBS. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 33 of case diary. It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that a quarrel was occurred between the parties on the date of occurrence for which cross cases is lodged by them against each other and both sides have received injuries in the quarrel. It is further submitted that the allegation against these petitioners are general in nature and from the nature of accusation as well as injury report, no case under section 109 is made out against them and allegation of snatching gold locket appears to be super addition.
6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of their arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, these petitioners shall be released on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag
Sessions Judge