

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 281/2026/ Filing No. 941/2026)**

In re:

1. Jagdish Kumar, aged 53 years,
S/o Lalte Mahauth Babu @ Mahauth Ram,
2. Sudha Devi, aged 45 years,
W/o Jagdish Kumar,
3. Diwakar Kumar, aged 22 years,
S/o Jagdish Kumar,
4. Prabhakar Kumar, aged 20 years,
S/o Jagdish Kumar,
All R/o Vill-Darauli, P.S-Bhabua, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabua)
5. Saroja Devi, aged 46 years,
W/o Ramanand Ram,
6. Raushani Kumari, aged 26 years,
D/o Ramanand Ram
Both R/o Vill-Kir, P.S-Sonhan, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s
STATE

FIR No: 111/2026
P.S : Bhabua
U/s: 108, 3(5)BNS
Date Of Order:07.04.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Prahalad Singh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioners and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioners have stated in para 2 of their bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. Alleging false implication of the petitioners, it is submitted on their behalf that the informant is not a married wife of the husband of the deceased rather she is a concubine of the husband of the deceased and due to this reason, a serious quarrel took place between the informant and the deceased and after the death of the deceased the informant in order to claim over the property of the husband of the deceased, his false case has been filed and the family members of the deceased have been roped in

the case based on engineered story and thus the submission is that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case.

4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.
5. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. It transpires from the perusal of record that petitioners are FIR named and allegations against them as per FIR are that on dated 06.02.2026 at around 08:00-09:00 AM, the sister of informant along with her minor daughter went to the hotel of her brother in law asking for some help for her sustenance but her brother in law abused her and moved her out from there. She further alleged that her sister was asking in the share of property of her husband who has died but she was ill treated by the petitioners and at around 02:30 PM, she has consumed poison and froth was coming out of her mouth and when she was taken to hospital she was declared brought dead. She further alleged that her brother in law along with villagers have forcibly cremated her sister dead body. It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that petitioner no. 1 is living in Bhabua along with his family members. It is further submitted that Jagdish Kumar and the husband of deceased were brothers. His brother who was a practicing doctor has solemnized two marriages with two sisters and the brother of petitioner no. 1 died due to some disease and after his death, both the sisters used to quarrel among themselves and due to this reason she has consumed poison. It is further submitted that informant was present at the time of cremation of her sister and later on, she has filed this case to claim over the property of the husband of deceased. Petitioners are stated to have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 3 of their bail petition.
6. Considering the aforesaid fact and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of their arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, these petitioners shall be released on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag
Sessions Judge