

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-III,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA.**

A.B.P No. 212 of 2026
Vishal Gupta vs. State of Bihar

21.04.2026	An anticipatory bail application filed on behalf of the petitioner, namely, Vishal Gupta who is apprehending her arrest in connection with Complaint Case No. 279/2026 registered for the offence punishable u/ss 498-A, 406, 323, 504, 34 of the IPC and Section 3, 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act. Heard Sri Amresh Kr. Singh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and learned APP for the State as well as Sri Gopal Lal Srivastava, learned counsel for the complainant. The case of the complainant in nutshell is that the complainant was married to the petitioner in the year 2020. When she went to her in-laws house the petitioner started torturing the complainant for the demand of Scorpio vehicle. On 16.06.2024, the petitioner left the complainant at Mohania and asked her not to come his home unless demand of Scorpio vehicle is not fulfilled. Thereafter, upto two years she remained in her maika. A punchayti was held in which the petitioner was paid Rs. 1,00,000/- from the father of the complainant. Thereafter, the complainant went along with petitioner to his home. But after few days the petitioner again started torturing the complainant. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is innocent. There is illicit relationship of the complainant with the staff of petitioner. Due to this reason he has already filed divorce case against the complainant in the Civil Court, Ara. On these submissions there is prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
------------	--

Contd..P/2
21.04.2026

Learned APP as well as learned counsel of the complainant vehemently opposes the prayer of the petitioner.

Heard. Perused the case LCR. The marriage of the petitioner with the complainant took place in the year 2020, more than 5 years ago. There is a pen drive containing CCTV footage and call recording submitted by the petitioner with regard to relationship of his staff and the complainant (his wife). The same has been not controverted by the complainant. On its perusal it appears that there is prima facie material submitted by the petitioner to show the intimate conversation with the complainant and some other person. The divorce case has already been filed against the complainant on this ground. Considering all the aspects of this case the petitioner's anticipatory bail is **allowed** and it is ordered that the above named petitioner in the event of his arrest/surrender before the court below within four weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on **furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties** of like amount each to the satisfaction of court below.

(Dictated)

sd/-

(Vinay Prakash Tiwari)
District & Addl. Sessions Judge-III
Kaimur at Bhabhua.