

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 153/2026/ Filing No. 519/2026)**

In re:

1. Nitish Paswan, aged about 22 years,
S/o Vijay Paswan,
2. Jitendra Paswan, aged about 25 years,
S/o Gudri Paswan,
3. Ajay Paswan, aged about 50 years,
S/o Late Rajendra Paswan,
4. Chandan Paswan @ Chandan Kumar, aged about 25 years,
S/o Ajay Paswan,
5. Manoj Paswan @ Manoj Kumar, aged about 25 years,
S/o Vijay Paswan,
R/o Vill- Amiratha, P.S- Kudra, Distt.-Kaimur (Bhabua).
6. Ujjawal Ram @ Ujala Kumar, aged about 23 years,
S/o Munna Ram,
R/o Vill- Ghtaw, P.S- Kudra, Distt.-Kaimur (Bhabua).

V/s
STATE

FIR No: 01/2026
P.S : Kudra
U/s: 191(2),115(2),126(2),110,
303(2),352,351(2) BNS
Date Of Order: 20.04.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Harun Rasid Khan learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioners and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioners have stated in para 2 of their bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. Alleging false implication of the petitioners, it is submitted on their behalf that no such occurrence as alleged was ever taken place, rather, on the same date of alleged occurrence the informant and his associates beaten Gangotari Devi and outraged her modesty for which SC/ST PS Case No. 1/2026 has been lodged against the informant and his associates and due to this reason, this false case has been lodged

against the petitioners, moreover, the injuries sustained by the injured are simple in nature and thus no case for the offence U/s 110 of BNS is made out and the allegation of commission of theft appears to be super-addition.

4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.
5. Heard both sides and perused the case record. It transpires from perusal of record that petitioners are FIR named and allegations against them as per FIR are that on dated 01.01.2026 at around 04:30 PM, the informant was purchasing articles for Shradh Karm of his aunt (Tai Ji), in the meantime, all the FIR named accused including these petitioners came bearing lathi, danda, fire arm etc. in their hands and started assaulting the informant and injured him, thereafter, they have taken away Rs. 2600/- from his pocket. The injury report of the informant is attached with case diary showing multiple injuries to him which are simple in nature caused by HBS. Petitioners Nitish Paswan, Chandan Paswan, Manoj Paswan and Ajay Paswan have criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 28 of case diary in which they are stated to be on bail and rest petitioners have no criminal antecedent. It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that a quarrel was occurred between the parties on the date of occurrence for which the petitioner side has also filed a case under SC/ST Act against the informant side. It is further submitted that allegation against these petitioners are general in nature, no specific overt act is mentioned against anyone of them and accusation regarding the snatching of money appears to be super-addition. It is further submitted that petitioners side has also received injury during the quarrel.
6. Considering the aforesaid fact and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of their arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, these petitioners shall be released on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag.
Sessions Judge