

Court of Sessions Judge, Kishanganj
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. - 622 /2025
(Bahadurganj P. S. case no. 272 / 2025)

Present : Sushant Kumar
Sessions Judge, Kishanganj

Md. Hasim @ Hasim Alam (Petitioner)

Vs.
The State of Bihar (Opposite Party)

For the Petitioner : Shri Jitendra Kumar Ojha (Advocate)
For the State : Shri Pranav Kumar (P. P.)

13-03-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner Md. Hasim @ Hasim Alam who is apprehending his arrest in connection with with Bahadurganj P. S. case no. 272 /2025 registered u/s 115 (2), 117 (2), 118 (1), 118 (2), 109 (1), 351 (3), 352, 3 (5) of the BNS. Also heard learned P. P. for the state.

2. Prosecution case in short is that the informant Faruk Alam submitted his written report alleging therein that on 13-06-2025 at about 9 a.m. 'tati' was being erected on his way which he protested. Then the petitioner and six co-accused persons started abusing and assaulting. The petitioner assaulted him with Khunti on his head. All the accused persons assaulted his brother Farzul Alam. The accused Nadim assaulted his brother with axe upon his head. The co-accused Kaishar Alam assaulted one relative of the informant with lathi danda.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in his case. Due to land dispute both the parties. The case has been compromised by both the parties. There is case and counter case between the parties. The petitioner has clean antecedent.

4. Learned P. P. has opposed the prayer for bail.

Court of Sessions Judge, Kishanganj
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. - 622 /2025
(Bahadurganj P. S. case no. 272 / 2025)

Contd.....2/
13-03-2026

5. Perused the record as well as case diary. As per allegation, the petitioner and co-accused persons assaulted the informant his brother and one relative with intention to kill them. Witnesses in case diary have supported the prosecution version. From para 22 of the case diary, it appears that the case has been found true against the petitioner and co-accused Nadim u/s 115 (2), 117 (2), 118 (1), 109 (1), 351 (3), 352, 3 (5) of the BNS. From injury reports of the informant and other victims, it appears that brother of the informant Farjul Alam sustained three injuries upon his parietal lobe and face. They informant and one other victim also sustained injuries upon their parietal lobe. Statement has been made in para 3 of the bail petition that the petitioner has clean antecedent.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and nature of allegation, this court does not find it to be a fit case for extending the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner and as such the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner Md. Hasim @ Hasim Alam is hereby **rejected.**

Dictated

sd/-

(Sushant Kumar)
Sessions Judge
Kishanganj

Date of judgement / order	13-03-2026
Date of reserving judgment / order	13-03-2026
Uploading Date	18-03-2026
Uploaded by	Steno