

The Court of Addl. Sessions Judge-Ist, Kishanganj
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. - 123/2026
(Mahila P.S. case no. 07/2023)

Present : Suresh Kumar Singh II
Addl. Sessions Judge-Ist, Kishanganj

Ansar Alam

..... (Petitioner)

Vs.

The State of Bihar (Opposite Party)

For the Petitioner : Sri Khwaza Mojibur Rahman (Advocate)
For the State : Sri Suren Prasad Saha (A.P. P.)

24-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner namely, Ansar Alam, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Mahila P.S. case no. 07/ 2023, registered u/s 376, 341, 323, 506, 34 of IPC. Also heard learned P. P. for the State.

2. Prosecution case as per written report of the informant is that on 05.03.2023 at about 05:30 PM informant went to north near her house for defecate then accused Ansar Alam caught her from her back and threatened her if she tried to make a noise then he will kill her then accused Ansar Alam raped her against her will. On her noise her mother and father came there and catch the accused Ansar Alam then other co-accused persons namely Katalu and Alamas assaulted the informant and her parents and fled away with the accused Ansar Alam. On the basis of the informant report Mahila P.S. Case No. 07/2023 was registered.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence. Entire allegations made in the complaint are totally false, fabricated and concocted. The petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case due to normal family dispute. Cognizance has been taken under section 115(2), 85 of BNS which are not attracted with the petitioner.

The Court of Addl. Sessions Judge-Ist, Kishanganj
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. - 123/2026
(Mahila P.S. case no. 07/2023)

Contd.....2/
24-04-2026

The petitioner abide all condition which has been imposed by Ld. Court. As per para 03 of bail petition petitioner has clean antecedent.

4. Learned P. P. has opposed the prayer for bail.

5. From perusal of record it transpires that there is allegation of rape against the petitioner. From perusal of record it also transpires that during investigation statement of victim was recorded U/s 164 Cr.P.C. in which she has said that the petitioner has committed rape with her against her will. After investigation the police submitted final form in the case but Ld. S.D.J.M. has taken cognizance for the offence U/s 376, 341, 323, 506/34 IPC against the petitioner and other co-accused persons. Allegation against the petitioner is serious in nature.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and nature of allegation, this court does not find it to be a fit case for extending the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner and as such the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner Ansar Alam is hereby **rejected**.

Dictated

Sd/-

Suresh Kumar Singh II
Addl. Sessions Judge-1st
Kishanganj

Date of Judgment/Order	24-04-2026
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	NA
Uploading Date	27-04-2026
Uploaded by	Steno