

The Court of Addl. Sessions Judge-Ist, Kishanganj
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. - 259 /2026
(Tedhagachh P.S. case no. 150/ 2026)

Present : Suresh Kumar Singh II
Addl. Sessions Judge-Ist, Kishanganj

1. Sachin Kumar Sharma 2. Ghuttu Sharma @ Rohit Lal Sharma
..... (Petitioners)

Vs.
The State of Bihar (Opposite Party)

For the Petitioner : Sri Sagar Raj (Advocate)
For the State : Sri Suren Prasad Saha (A.P. P.)

14-07-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners namely, 1. Sachin Kumar Sharma 2. Ghuttu Sharma @ Rohit Lal Sharma, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Tedhagachh P.S. case no.-150/2026, u/s 115(2), 126(2), 64(1), 109(1), 118(1), 76, 303(2), 3(5) of BNS. Also heard learned P. P. for the State.

2. Prosecution story as per typed report of informant is that he works as an e-rickshaw driver. On 08.04.2026 at about 04:00, while travelling with his wife from Dahibhat to Phulwariya Bazaar, they were stopped near Sharmatoli Mor by all of the accused persons including these petitioners. The accused allegedly dragged him out of the vehicle, assaulted him with a glass bottle due to which he sustained head injuries, tore his wife's clothes and snatched 05 bhari silver chain from his wife and 03 bhari silver locket from his neck and Rs. 7,500/- cash.. On the basis of written report of the informant Tedhagachh P.S. Case NO. 150/2026 was registered.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence. Entire allegation alleged in the FIR are totally false, fabricated and concocted. The informant is a toto driver and he under his negligent driven hit the petitioners with his toto, when the petitioners objected and asked the informant, he started abusing in return. The informant has filed this false case only to teach petitioner's lesson. Section 109(1), 118(1) of BNS does not attract against the petitioners. Section 76, 303(2) of BNS has been alleged only to make this case look serious in nature. No overact whatsoever have been attributed by these petitioners. Statement has been made in para 3 of the bail petition that the petitioners have clean antecedent

The Court of Addl. Sessions Judge-Ist, Kishanganj
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. - 259 /2026
(Tedhagachh P.S. case no. 150/ 2026)

Contd.....2/
14-07-2026

4. Learned P. P. has opposed the prayer for bail.
5. From perusal of record it transpires that there is allegation against these petitioners is that they stopped the toto driven by the informant and assaulted with feast and slaps and also a bottle on the head of the informant due to which he sustained injuries. He was treated at PHC, Tedhagachh. From perusal of case diary it transpires that witnesses have supported the allegation of assault by the accused persons. From perusal of injury report of injured persons it transpires that all the injuries are simple in nature. Considering the facts stated above, I am inclined to enlarge the petitioner of bail.
6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the petitioners namely, 1. Sachin Kumar Sharma 2. Ghuttu Sharma @ Rohit Lal Sharma are hereby directed to be released on bail in the event of his arrest or surrender within four weeks from of receipt of the copy of this order in learned Trial Court, on furnishing bail bond of the amount of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned subject to the conditions laid down under Section 482 of the BNSS.

Dictated

Sd/-
Suresh Kumar Singh II
Addl. Sessions Judge-1st
Kishanganj

Date of Judgment/Order	14-07-2026
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	NA
Uploading Date	16-07-2026
Uploaded by	Steno