

The Court of Addl. Sessions Judge-Ist, Kishanganj
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. - 258/2026
(Kishanganj Mahila P.S. case no. -92/ 2025)

Present : Suresh Kumar Singh II
Addl. Sessions Judge-Ist, Kishanganj

Rahul Kumar Khatwe

..... (Petitioner)

Vs.

The State of Bihar (Opposite Party)

For the Petitioner	: Sri Umesh Kr. Sah	(Advocate)
For the State	: Sri Suren Prasad Saha	(A.P. P.)

22-06-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner namely, Rahul Kumar Khatwe, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Kishanganj Mahila P.S. case No.-92/2025, registered u/s 69, 64(1), 115(2), 126(2), 352, 76, 303(2), 3(5) of BNS. Also heard learned P. P. for the State.

2. Prosecution story as per written report of informant is that she was working in cartoon factory in Delhi. On 13.11.2025 petitioner entered in her room and committed rape with her against her will. On many occasions he committed rape with her on pretext of marriage and petitioner took the informant to his house, where she asked for marriage, petitioner denied from marriage and of the accused including this petitioner abused, assaulted and misbehave with her. During the accident accused Sona devi and Sulekha Devi snatched gold and silver ornaments from her. On the basis written report of the informant Kishanganj Mahila P.S. Case No. 92/2026 was registered.

3. Apart from pleading innocence and false implication learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence. The petitioner has falsely implicated in this case. Entire allegation made in the FIR are false, frivolous and concocted. There has never been any relationship between both the parties. There is no prima facie evidence to support the prosecution case. Allegation in the FIR are vague and do not disclose any prima-facie case. As per para 03 of bail petition, petitioner has clean antecedent.

The Court of Addl. Sessions Judge-Ist, Kishanganj
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. - 258/2026
(Kishanganj Mahila P.S. case no. -92/ 2025)

Continued...2/
22-06-2026

4. Learned P. P. has opposed the prayer for bail and prayed to dispose the anticipatory bail petition.

5. From perusal of the record it transpires that there is allegation against the petitioner that he committed rape with the informant on pretext of marriage and later on he denied from marriage and he along with other co-accused person abused, assault and misbehave with her. From perusal of case diary it that the witnesses have supported the prosecution case in their statement before the police. During investigation statement of victim has been recorded U/s 183 BNSS in which she has said that the petitioner committed rape with her on pretext of marriage. Allegation against the petitioner is of rape which is serious in nature.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and nature of allegation, this court does not find it to be a fit case for extending the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner and as such the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner Rahul Kumar Khatwe is hereby **rejected**.

Dictated

Sd/-
Suresh Kumar Singh II
Addl. Sessions Judge-1st
Kishanganj

Date of Judgment/Order	22-6-2026
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	NA
Uploading Date	22-06-2026
Uploaded by	Steno