

The Court of Addl. Sessions Judge-Ist, Kishanganj
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. - 255/2026
(Mahila P.S. case no. -42/ 2026)

Present : Suresh Kumar Singh II
Addl. Sessions Judge-Ist, Kishanganj

Perwej Alam

..... (Petitioner)

Vs.

The State of Bihar (Opposite Party)

For the Petitioner	: Shri Rajiv Ranjan Babla	(Advocate)
For the State	: Sri Suren Prasad Saha	(A.P. P.)

09-07-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner Perwej Alam, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Mahila P.S. case No.-42/2026, registered u/s 64(1), 69, 62, 115(2), 126(2), 351(2), 351(3), 3(5) of BNS. Also heard learned P. P. for the State.

2. Prosecution case as per typed report of informant is that she had been in a love relationship with Parwej Alam for about three years. On the pretext of marriage, he took her to Kishanganj on 25.03.2026 but instead of taking her to the marriage Registrar's Office, he took her to a hotel where he established physical relations with her. Later, after leaving the hotel, he called five persons, one of whom she identified as Khosbur Doctor. They allegedly took her to a village Mukhiya's house, where they tried to misbehave, assault and threatened to kill her and her father if she raised an alarm. On the basis of typed report of the informant Mahila P.S. Case No. 42/2026 was registered.

3. Apart from pleading innocence and false implication learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. The entire allegations alleged in the FIR are totally false, fabricated and concocted. On 25.03.2026 the informant along with 5-6 unknown persons wrongfully restrained the petitioner and forcibly snatched Rs. 4,00,000/- from him. When the petitioner demanded return of the said amount, the informant refused and threatened that the money

The Court of Addl. Sessions Judge-Ist, Kishanganj
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. - 255/2026
(Mahila P.S. case no. -42/ 2026)

Continued...2/
09-07-2026

would not be returned. The petitioner lodged an FIR at Kishanganj P.s. Case No. 379/2026 dated 05.04.2026 against the informant and others. The informant had earlier taken Rs. 3,40,000/- from the petitioner under pressure, which was settled in Panchayati. There is no reasonable explanation for 10 day delay in filing the FIR. As per para 05 of bail petition, petitioner has clean antecedent.

4. Learned P. P. has opposed the prayer for bail and prayed to dispose the anticipatory bail petition.

5. From perusal of record it transpires that there is allegation of rape against the petitioner. From perusal of case diary it transpires that witnesses have supported prosecution case as alleged in the FIR. During investigation statement of victim was recorded U/s 183 BNSS in which she has also supported the allegation against the petitioner and said that the petitioner committed rape with her on pretext of marriage. Investigation in this case is still pending. Allegation against the petitioner is serious in nature. Considering the fact, I am not inclined to give privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and nature of allegation, this court does not find it to be a fit case for extending the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner and as such the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner Perwej Alam is hereby **rejected**.

Dictated
Sd/-

Suresh Kumar Singh II
Addl. Sessions Judge-1st
Kishanganj

Date of Judgment/Order	09-07-2026
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	NA
Uploading Date	10-07-2026
Uploaded by	Steno