

Court of Sessions Judge, Kishanganj
Bail Petition No. - 144 / 2026
(Dighalbank P. S. case no. 66 / 2024)

Present : Namrata Tiwary
Sessions Judge, Kishanganj

Afzal Ahmad (Petitioner)

Vs.

The State of Bihar (Opposite Party)

For the Petitioner : Shri Rakesh Kumar Mishra (Advocate)
For the State : Shri Pranav Kumar (P. P.)

11-06-2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner Afzal Ahmad, who is in judicial custody since 05-04-2026 in connection with Dighalbank P. S. case no. 66/ 2024 registered u/s 323, 406, 417, 420, 120 (B) of the IPC. Also heard learned P. P. for the state.

2. Prosecution case in short is that the the informant has alleged that the petitioner and co- accused persons being the directors of 'Fast World Nidhi' contacted him and others and persuaded to become agent of his company and get opened account of general people. Thereafter, the informant and others deposited money total Rs. 81,83,820/- of several persons at branch Bahadurganj of the company of the petitioner. It was assured that after maturity the amount would be returned and if required loan would be given. It is further alleged that all of a sudden the petitioner and co- accused persons disappeared after closing their company branch. On 02-09-2023 at about 10.00 a.m. the informant and others went to the house of petitioner and co- accused persons where they were abused. They also stated that they would not return money.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. Offences u/s 406, 417, 420 of the IPC are not made out against the petitioner. The date of date of occurrence was 02-09-2023 and the complaint was filed on 18-05-2024 but the cause of delay is not clear, which shows conspiracy of the complainant. The petitioner is in judicial custody since 05-04-2026. The petitioner is also accused in ten other cases.

Court of Sessions Judge, Kishanganj
Bail Petition No. - 144 / 2026
(Dighalbank P. S. case no. 66 / 2024)

Present : Namrata Tiwary
Sessions Judge, Kishanganj

Contd.....2/
11-06-2026

4. Learned P. P. has opposed the prayer for bail.

5. Perused the record and case diary. As per allegation, the petitioner and co-accused persons defalcated deposit money of the general public. Witnesses in case diary have supported the prosecution version. From para 52 of the case diary, it appears that the petitioner is also accused in 5 other cases. It also appears that the I. O. has mentioned that the petitioner is not entitled for benefit u/s 41 (A) of the Cr. P. C. as there is apprehension of committing further offence by the petitioner. The case is under investigation. It appears to be a case of financial fraud of hard earned money of the villagers and privilege of bail can be misused by the accused persons to tamper with the documentary evidence / record.

6. Considering the above facts and circumstances and nature of offence, I do not find it proper to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner Afzal Ahmad is hereby **rejected**.

Dictated

Sd/-
(Namrata Tiwary)
Sessions Judge
Kishanganj

Date of judgment / order	11-06-2026
Date of reserving judgment / order	11-06-2026
Uploading Date	12-06-2026
Uploaded by	Steno