

Court of Sessions Judge, Kishanganj
Bail Petition No. - 120 / 2026
(Thakurganj P. S. case no. 21 / 2026)

Present : Sushant Kumar
Sessions Judge, Kishanganj

Mithun Sahni (Petitioner)

Vs.
The State of Bihar (Opposite Party)

For the Petitioner : Shri Imteyaz Ali (Advocate)
For the State : Shri Pranav Kumar (P. P.)

13-05-2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner Mithun Sahni, who is in judicial custody since 27-01-2026 in connection with Thakurganj P. S. case no. 21/ 2026 registered u/s 126 (2), 115 (2), 109 (1), 3 (5) of the BNS. Also heard learned P. P. for the state.
2. Prosecution case in short is that the informant submitted her written application alleging therein that on 26-01-2026 her husband Lalu Mandal was at road in front of his house then the petitioner and other FIR named accused persons assaulted him brutally with intention to kill him.
3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. There is no specific allegation against the petitioner. The CCTV footage is not clear as the video is not visible at any point of time. The petitioner is in judicial custody since 27-01-2026. The petitioner has clean antecedent.
4. Learned P. P. has opposed the prayer for bail submitting that charge sheet has been submitted against the petitioner u/s 126 (2), 115 (2), 109 (1), 352, 351 (2) of the BNS.

Court of Sessions Judge, Kishanganj
Bail Petition No. - 120 / 2026
(Thakurganj P. S. case no. 21 / 2026)

Present : Sushant Kumar
Sessions Judge, Kishanganj

Contd.....2/
13-05-2026

5. Perused the record and LCR. As per allegation, the petitioner and other FIR named accused persons assaulted husband of the informant with intention to kill him. Witnesses in case diary vide para 10, 11, 12, 13 of the case diary have stated that the petitioner assaulted husband of the informant with sakhua wood causing him badly injured. From injury report of the victim Lalu Mandal it appears that he sustained injury upon his scalp and the injury has been shown to be grievous in nature. Charge sheet has been submitted against the petitioner u/s 126 (2), 115 (2), 109 (1), 352, 351 (2) of the BNS. Statement has been made in para 3 of the bail petition that the petitioner has clean antecedent.

6. Considering the above facts and circumstances and nature of offence, I do not find it proper to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner Mithun Sahni is hereby **rejected.**

Dictated

sd/-

(Sushant Kumar)
Sessions Judge
Kishanganj

Date of judgment / order	13-05-2026
Date of reserving judgment / order	13-05-2026
Uploading Date	14-05-2026
Uploaded by	Steno