

The Court of Addl. Sessions Judge-Ist, Kishanganj
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. - 33 /2026
(Complaint case no. 129/2025)

Present : Suresh Kumar Singh II
Addl. Sessions Judge-Ist, Kishanganj

Dilshad

..... (Petitioner)

Vs.

The State of Bihar

..... (Opposite Party)

For the Petitioner	: Sri Abul Fazal Md. Azad	(Advocate)
For the State	: Sri Suren Prasad Saha	(A.P. P.)

23-05-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner namely, Dilshad, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Complaint case no. 129/2025, registered u/s 498(A) of IPC. Also heard learned P. P. for the State.

2. Prosecution case in short is that complainant married with Dilshad 03 years ago as per Muslim rites and customs. At the time of marriage complainant's parents gave them one motorcycle, silver and gold ornaments and other household items as gift. In the year 2024 complainant gave birth a baby girl from his wedlock. After some months of marriage complainant was being tortured, abused and assaulted by all the accused persons including this petitioner for the demand of dowry Rs. 2,00,000/-. The petitioner performed second marriage with another woman. When the complainant denied to fulfill the demand of dowry, all the accused persons including this petitioner assaulted her. On 07.03.2025 all of the accused persons including this petitioner abused and assaulted them and ousted her from their house and kept all her belongings.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence. The petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case due to personal grudge to this illegal lust. All allegations is leveled against the petitioner is out and out false. The complainant wants the petitioner land in which house are situated which are stand in the name of the husband' father. The petitioner is husband and he is ready to keep her wife with full dignity and love. As per para 3 of bail petition petitioner has clean antecedent.

4. Learned P. P. has opposed the prayer for bail.

The Court of Addl. Sessions Judge-Ist, Kishanganj
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. - 33 /2026
(Complaint case no. 129/2025)

Contd.....2/
23-05-2026

5. From perusal of record it transpires that the petitioner is husband of the complainant and there is allegation against him that he assaulted tortured the complainant for demand of dowry of Rs. 2,00,000/- and when demand was not fulfilled they ousted her from their house on 07.03.2025. the petitioner is not ready to keep the complainant with his as wife. Allegation against the petitioner is serious in nature.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and nature of allegation, this court does not find it to be a fit case for extending the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner and as such the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner Dilshad is hereby **rejected**.

Dictated
Sd/-

Suresh Kumar Singh II
Addl. Sessions Judge-1st
Kishanganj

Date of Judgment/Order	23-05-2026
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	NA
Uploading Date	26-05-2026
Uploaded by	Steno