

Court of Sessions Judge, Kishanganj
Bail Petition No. - 91 / 2026
(Thakurganj P. S. case no. 47 / 2026)

Present : Sushant Kumar
Sessions Judge, Kishanganj

Md. Raja (Petitioner)

Vs.
The State of Bihar (Opposite Party)

For the Petitioner : Shri Md. Shakil Ahmad (Advocate)
For the State : Shri Pranav Kumar (P. P.)

10-04-2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner Md. Raja, who is in judicial custody since 24-02-2026 in connection with Thakurganj P. S. case no. 47/2026 registered u/s 140 (1), 109, 61 (2), 3 (5) of the BNS. Also heard learned P. P. for the state.

2. Prosecution case in short is that the informant submitted her written report alleging therein that her husband Imteyaz was working as driver of Circle Officer. On 16-02-2026 at about 9.20 p.m. the petitioner and co-accused came to her residence and took away her husband. Her husband went by his motorcycle and the accused persons were on another motorcycle without no. plate. The petitioner had taken loan of Rs. 5 lakh from her husband and in this regard there had been quarreling. On the same night at about 2 a.m. the informant got informant that her husband had met an accident. Her husband was referred to Siliguri. His leg was fractured and he received serious injury upon his head. The informant claimed that the accused persons have attempted to commit murder of her husband due to money transaction.

Court of Sessions Judge, Kishanganj
Bail Petition No. - 91 / 2026
(Thakurganj P. S. case no. 47 / 2026)

Present : Sushant Kumar
Sessions Judge, Kishanganj

Contd.....2/
10-04-2026

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. The informant has revealed this fact that she was informed by one Noor Ali that her husband has met with an accident near Khuribari and when she reached Khuribari P. S. she was also informed that her husband has referred to North Bengal Medical College at Siliguri. The petitioner had also met with an accident with husband of the informant. The petitioner is in judicial custody since 24-02-2026. The petitioner is also accused in one other case.

4. On the other hand learned P. P. assisted by the learned counsel for the informant has opposed the prayer for bail. Learned counsel for the informant submitted that husband of the informant has died during course of treatment on the next day of occurrence.

5. Perused the record and case diary. As per allegation, the petitioner and co-accused took away husband of the informant in night, subsequently the informant got information that her husband had met an accident. He was seriously injured. The informant claimed that the accused persons have attempted to commit murder of her husband due to money transaction. From para 11 of the case diary it appears that husband of the informant died on the next day of the occurrence. Witnesses in case diary have supported the prosecution version. The case is under investigation. Statement has been made in para 3 of the bail petition that the petitioner is also accused in one other case.

Court of Sessions Judge, Kishanganj
Bail Petition No. - 91 / 2026
(Thakurganj P. S. case no. 47 / 2026)

Present : Sushant Kumar
Sessions Judge, Kishanganj

Contd..... 3/
10-04-2026

6. Considering the above facts and circumstances and involvement of the petitioner in the alleged offence, I do not find it proper to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner Md. Raja is hereby **rejected**.

Dictated

sd/-
(Sushant Kumar)
Sessions Judge
Kishanganj

Date of judgment / order	10-04-2026
Date of reserving judgment / order	10-04-2026
Uploading Date	13-04-2026
Uploaded by	Steno