

Court of Sessions Judge, Kishanganj
Bail Petition No. - 79 / 2026
(Bahadurganj P. S. case no. 24 / 2026)

Present : Sushant Kumar
Sessions Judge, Kishanganj

Dilshad (Petitioner)

Vs.
The State of Bihar (Opposite Party)

For the Petitioner : Shri Md. Firoz Alam (Advocate)
For the State : Shri Pranav Kumar (P. P.)

24-03-2026

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner Dilshad, who is in judicial custody since 15-01-2026 in connection with Bahadurganj P. S. case no. 24/2026 registered u/s 103 (1), 3 (5) of the BNS. Also heard learned P. P. for the state.
2. Prosecution case in short is that the informant got recorded his fard bayan alleging therein that on 14-01-2026 at about 3 p.m. he got information that his sister Nasrin had been killed and hanged in a tree in Guna chaurasi graveyard. On that information he along with villagers came there and saw the dead body of his sister hanged in a tree. The husband of the deceased i.e. the petitioner was present there. Thereafter, he informed the police. The informant further claimed that the petitioner being husband of his sister and co-accused had killed his sister and hanged the dead body on tree. He further alleged that the petitioner and co-accused used to threaten his sister to kill her.
3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner is husband of the deceased. Their marriage was solemnized 12 years ago. They have four children. The deceased had made no complain earlier. The petitioner is in judicial custody since 15-01-2026. The petitioner has clean antecedent.

Court of Sessions Judge, Kishanganj
Bail Petition No. - 79 / 2026
(Bahadurganj P. S. case no. 24 / 2026)

Present : Sushant Kumar
Sessions Judge, Kishanganj

Contd.....2/
24-03-2026

4. Learned P. P. has opposed the prayer for bail.

5. Perused the record and L.C.R. As per allegation, the petitioner and co-accused committed murder of the deceased and hanged the dead body. The petitioner is husband of the deceased. Witnesses in case diary have supported the prosecution version. Charge sheet has been submitted against the petitioner u/s 103 (1) of the BNS and accordingly cognizance has been taken. Statement has been made in para 3 of the bail petition that the petitioner has clean antecedent.

6. Considering the above facts and circumstances and involvement of the petitioner in the alleged offence, I do not find it proper to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner Dilshad is hereby **rejected**.

Dictated

Sd/-
(Sushant Kumar)
Sessions Judge
Kishanganj

Date of judgment / order	24-03-2026
Date of reserving judgment / order	24-03-2026
Uploading Date	26-03-2026
Uploaded by	Steno