

Court of Sessions Judge, Kishanganj
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. - 97 /2026
(Bishanpur P. S. Case No. 41/ 2025)

Present : Sushant Kumar
Sessions Judge, Kishanganj

1. Bharat Yadav 2. Arun Yadav (Petitioners)

Vs.

The State of Bihar (Opposite Party)

For the Petitioners : Shri Shamim Ahmad (Advocate)
For the State : Shri Pranav Kumar (P. P.)

13-03-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners namely 1. Bharat Yadav 2. Arun Yadav who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Bishanpur P. S. Case No. 41/2025 registered u/s 303 (2), 3 (5) of the BNS. Also heard learned P. P. for the State.

2. Prosecution case in short is that on 18-09-2025 the informant withdrew Rs. 2,00,000/- from bank. He kept the money in dicky of motorcycle and went to medical shop. When he returned back near his motorcycle found dicky was opened and money was missing. Thereafter, he saw camera of medical shop in which three persons were seen near his motorcycle.

Court of Sessions Judge, Kishanganj
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. - 97 /2026
(Bishanpur P. S. Case No. 41/ 2025)

Present : Sushant Kumar
Sessions Judge, Kishanganj

Contd.....2/
13-03-2026

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case. The CCTV footage relied upon for identification has not been subjected. The petitioner no. 1 is also accused one other case and the petitioner no. 2 is also accused three other cases.

4. Learned P. P. has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

5. Perused the record and case diary. The case was registered against unknown persons for committing theft of Rs. 2,00,000/- from dicky of motorcycle of the informant. During course of investigation named of the petitioners has come in this case. From para 15 of the case diary, it appears that the petitioners were identified from photo and video of CCTV footage. From para 17 of the case diary, it appears that Rs. 2,00,000/- was recovered from house of the petitioner no. 1. The case is under investigation. Statement has been made in para 3 of the bail petition that the petitioner no. 1 is also accused in one other case and petitioner no. 2 is also accused three other cases. The petitioner no. 3 has clean antecedent.

Court of Sessions Judge, Kishanganj
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. - 97 /2026
(Bishanpur P. S. Case No. 41/ 2025)

Present : Sushant Kumar
Sessions Judge, Kishanganj

Contd.....3/
13-03-2026

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and nature of allegation, this court does not find it to be a fit case for extending the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners and as such the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners namely, 1. Bharat Yadav 2. Arun Yadav is hereby **rejected**.

Dictated

sd/-
(Sushant Kumar)
Sessions Judge
Kishanganj

Date of judgement / order	13-03-2026
Date of reserving judgment / order	13-03-2026
Uploading Date	18-03-2026
Uploaded by	Steno