

Court of Sessions Judge, Kishanganj
Bail Petition No. - 60 / 2026
(Bahadurganj P. S . case No. 20 / 2025)

Present : Sushant Kumar
Sessions Judge, Kishanganj

Daya Shankar Singh (Petitioner)

Vs.

The State of Bihar (Opposite Party)

For the Petitioner : Shri Pramod Kumar Sinha (Advocate)
For the State : Shri Pranav Kumar (P. P.)

04-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner Daya Shankar Singh who is in judicial custody since 19-12-2025 in connection with Bahadurganj P. S. case No. 20 / 2025 registered u/s 417, 418, 420, 423, 465, 467, 120 (B) of the IPC. Also heard learned P. P. for the state.

2. Prosecution case in short is that the informant Authorized Signatory of ICICI Prudential Life Insurance submitted his written report with regard to proposal form and policy dated 25-11-2021 alleging therein that the company received proposal signed by the petitioner for life cover of Arpita Kumari and the petitioner was nominee. The relation between the petitioner and Arpita Kumari was shown as father and daughter. Accordingly the life insurance policy was issued. On 16-08-2022 the company received death claim from the petitioner in which date of death was shown as 07-01-2022. The petitioner had annexed death certificate. The said certificate has been cancelled as per official website. The petitioner had stated the company that there is no previous policy of the deceased but it was found in enquiry that

Court of Sessions Judge, Kishanganj
Bail Petition No. - 60 / 2026
(Bahadurganj P. S . case No. 20 / 2025)

Contd.....2/
04-04-2026

two insurance policies were issued for life cover of the deceased. Hence the petitioner being proposer had concealed important information and submitted forged death certificate with death claim for taking illegal advantage.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner has not filed any claim to I. C .I. C. I. life Insurance against Arpita Kumari. He further submits that his daughter Arpita Kumari was studying in government school Dahgaon and in this regard certificate issued by Head Master of the school has been brought on record today. Birth certificate of the said Arpita Kumari is also available. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

4. Learned P. P. has opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that the petitioner has committed forgery in making death claim of his alleged daughter. Charge sheet has been submitted the petitioner u/s 417, 418, 420, 423, 465, 467, 120 B of the IPC.

5. Perused the record and LCR. As per allegation, the petitioner took life cover in the name of Arpita Kumari from the informant company sowing her his daughter and submitted death claim with forged death certificate. He also concealed earlier life insurance policy of the insured. From para 10 of the case diary it appears that birth certificate of Arpita Kumari was produced by wife of the petitioner. Witnessed i.e. wife and father of the petitioner have stated before the police that Arpita Kumari was daughter of the petitioner who died in the year 2022 but the police again took

Court of Sessions Judge, Kishanganj
Bail Petition No. - 60 / 2026
(Bahadurganj P. S . case No. 20 / 2025)

Contd.....3/
04-04-2026

statement of father and wife of the petitioner vide para 53 and 54 of the case diary in which they have stated that there was no daughter of the petitioner naming Arpita Kumari. From para 47 of the case diary it appears that birth certificate of Arpita Kumari was not verified due to unavailability of record. The certificate of government school with regard to admission of Arpita Kumari has been produced by the learned counsel for the petitioner today. In this case charge sheet has been against the petitioner u/s 417, 418, 420, 423, 465, 467, 120 B of the IPC. Accordingly, cognizance has been taken. The petitioner is in judicial custody since 19-12-2025. Statement has been made in para 3 of the bail petition that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the petitioner Daya Shankar Singh is hereby directed to be released on bail **after framing of charge** on furnishing bail bonds of the amount of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned.

Dictated

sd/-

(Sushant Kumar)
Sessions Judge
Kishanganj

Date of judgment / Order	04-04-2026
Date of reserving judgment / order	04-04-2026
Uploading date	06-04-2026
Uploaded by	Steno