

The Court of Addl. Sessions Judge-Ist, Kishanganj
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. - 99 /2026
(Mahila P.S. case no. -94/ 2025)

Present : Suresh Kumar Singh II
Addl. Sessions Judge-Ist, Kishanganj

1. Parvin Begam 2. Kalimuddin 3. Rusi Begum 4. Tabrez Alam 5. Salma
..... (Petitioner)

Vs.
The State of Bihar (Opposite Party)

For the Petitioner : Sri Md. Akbar Ansari (Advocate)
For the State : Sri Suren Prasad Saha (A.P. P.)

22-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners namely, 1. Parvin Begam 2. Kalimuddin 3. Rusi Begum 4. Tabrez Alam 5. Salma, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Mahila P.S. case No.-94/2025, registered u/s 115(2), 126(2), 85, 352, 3(5) of BNS and 3/4 DP Act. Also heard learned P. P. for the State.

2. Prosecution case in short is that informant married with Parweej Alam three years ago as per Muslim rites and customs. After one and half years of marriage informant's husband went Kuwait to earn money. Her husband used to send money to his parents. When she asked for money from her in-law for her and her children livelihood all of the accused persons including these petitioners abused her and would not give her the money. The informant was being tortured, abused and assaulted by all the accused persons including this petitioner for the demand of dowry Rs. 2,00,000/-. When the informant denied to fulfill the demand of dowry, all the accused persons including this petitioner assaulted her and on 14.09.2025 all of the accused persons including these petitioners assaulted her and ousted her from their house and kept all her belongings.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have not committed any offence. The petitioners have wrongly been implicated in this case. Allegations made in the FIR are totally false, frivolous and concocted. The FIR is U/s 115(2), 126(2), 85, 352, 3(5) of BNS and 3/4 DP Act which are not attracted with the petitioners. The petitioners have no concerned with this episode. They are living separately from the informant and his husband. The petitioner undertake all the condition imposed by this Court.

4. Learned P. P. has opposed the prayer for bail.

The Court of Addl. Sessions Judge-Ist, Kishanganj
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. - 99 /2026
(Mahila P.S. case no. -94/ 2025)

Continued....

22-04-2026

5. From perusal of record it transpires that the petitioners are in-laws of the informant and there is allegation that they along with other co-accused persons assaulted the informant for dowry and ousted her from their house. From perusal of the case record it transpires that this petitioners are in-laws of the informant of this case. Dispute in this case is matrimonial in nature. From para 03 of the bail petition petitioners have no criminal antecedent. At the time of hearing the informant was present in the court and informant said that she is ready to reside with her husband, if her husband keeps and maintain her with full honour and dignity. The petitioners are in-laws of the informant and husband of the informant is not petitioner in this anticipatory bail petition.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances , the petitioner namely, 1. Parvin Begam 2. Kalimuddin 3. Rusi Begum 4. Tabrez Alam 5. Salma are hereby directed to be released on bail in the event of his arrest or surrender within four weeks from of receipt of the copy of this order in learned Trial Court, on furnishing bail bond of the amount of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned subject to the conditions laid down under Section 482 of the BNSS.

Dictated
Sd/-

Suresh Kumar Singh II
Addl. Sessions Judge-1st
Kishanganj

Date of Judgment/Order	22-04-2026
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	NA
Uploading Date	-04-2026
Uploaded by	Steno