

The Court of Addl. Sessions Judge-Ist, Kishanganj
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. - 103 /2026
(Mahila P.S. case no. 18/ 2026)

Present : Suresh Kumar Singh II
Addl. Sessions Judge-Ist, Kishanganj

1. Jahangir Alam 2. Asharaul Haq 3. Parvej Alam
..... (Petitioners)

Vs.
The State of Bihar (Opposite Party)

For the Petitioner : Sri Prabhat Kr Roy (Advocate)
For the State : Sri Suren Prasad Saha (A.P. P.)

24-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners namely, 1. 1. Jahangir Alam 2. Asharaul Haq 3. Parvej Alam, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Mahila P.S. case no.-18/ 2026, u/s 64(1), 69, 126(2), 115(2), 352, 3(5) of BNS. Also heard learned P. P. for the State.

2. Prosecution case as per written report of the informant is that the informant was married with Habibullah but after one month of marriage her husband left her in her maik and went away and she has been living with her parents. The informant has further alleged that Saud Alam had been stalking her for several days. Saud Alam and his brothers are known for trapping girls, establishing physical relations and later trafficking them to Mumbai. On 04.12.2025 at around 05:00 PM while she had gone behind her house to bring a goat, Saud Alam forcibly caught her and threw her to the ground and rape her against her will. When her parents and others hearing her cries then they arrived upon which the accused fled. When her family went to the Saud Alam's house, they admitted his wrongdoing and proposed for marriage to settle the matter and asking her not to file a case. Later on they refused to marriage and sent Saud Alam to Mumbai. A panchayati was held on 04.01.2026 and during panchayati they declined marriage and offer money to silence the matter. When they refused all of the accused persons including this petitioners abused, assaulted and threatened her and her family members. On the basis of the informant report Mahila P.S. Case No. 18/2026 was registered.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence. They have been falsely implicated in this case. No explanation of delay in FIR. There is no any panchamama attached with the FIR. The informant is a questionable character and she as well as her family member and filed this false case. As per the FIR the allegation on petition are only under the section 126(2), 115(2), 352. There is no a single allegation or case against the petitioners for the occurrence of selling innocent girls to Bombay and other places. As per para 03 of the bail petition petitioners have clean antecedent.

The Court of Addl. Sessions Judge-Ist, Kishanganj
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. - 103 /2026
(Mahila P.S. case no. 18/ 2026)

Contd.....2/
24-04-2026

4. Learned P. P. has opposed the prayer for bail.
5. From perusal of record it transpires that these petitioners are family members of the main accused Saud Alam. Allegation of rape is against Saud Alam. Allegation against these petitioners is that they abused and assaulted the informant and her family members. When panchayati was held on 04.06.2026. There is allegation of assault and abused against these petitioners. Statement has been made in para 3 of the bail petition that the petitioners have clean antecedent.
6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the petitioners namely, 1. Jahangir Alam 2. Asharaul Haq 3. Parvej Alam are hereby directed to be released on bail in the event of his arrest or surrender within four weeks from of receipt of the copy of this order in learned Trial Court, on furnishing bail bond of the amount of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned subject to the conditions laid down under Section 482 of the BNSS.

Dictated

Sd/-
Suresh Kumar Singh II
Addl. Sessions Judge-1st
Kishanganj

Date of Judgment/Order	24-04-2026
Date of Reserving Judgment/Order	NA
Uploading Date	27 -04-2026
Uploaded by	Steno

The Court of Addl. Sessions Judge-Ist, Kishanganj
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. - 103 /2026
(Mahila P.S. case no. 18/ 2026)