

FORM-A
IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL CJM, GOGARI, KHAGARIA
PRESIDED BY SH. SUMIT KUMAR SINGH
DATE OF DECISION 11 DAY OF MARCH, 2024
GR CASE NO. 2025A OF 2011
ARISING OUT OF GOGARI PS CASE NO. 229 OF 2011

COMPLAINANT	STATE OF BIHAR THROUGH AVINASH KUMAR SINGH, HEADMASTER, DAV, MAHESHKHUT (HEREIN AFTER CALLED INFORMANT
REPRESENTED BY	SH. JHUNNU KUMAR, LD. SDPO
ACCUSED	1. SANJAY KUMAR, AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS S/O KAMLESHRI SINGH (HEREIN AFTER CALLED ACCUSED A-1) R/O VILLAGE BHATRINDHA, PS CHAUTHAM, KHAGARIA
REPRESENTED BY	VIVEKANAND SINGH, ADVOCATE

FORM-B

Sr. No.	Event	Date
1.	Date of Offence	20.12.2011
2.	Date of FIR	20.12.2011
3.	Date of Charge-Sheet	31.03.2012
4.	Date of framing of charge	
5.	Date of commencement of evidence	
6.	Date on which judgement reserved	28.02.2024
7.	Date of Pronouncement of Judgment	11.03.2024
8.	Date of Sentence, if any	NA

(ACCUSED DETAILS)

Rank of accused	Name of accused	Date of arrest	Date of bail	Offence charged with	Acquitted/ Convicted	Sentence if any	Period of Custody for Section 428 Cr.P.C
A-1	SANJAY KUMAR			419, 420 IPC	ACQUITTED		NA

(FORM-C)

1. ORAL EVIDENCE

- A. For Prosecution: NIL
B. For Accused: Nil
C. For Court: Nil

2. Documentary Evidence:

- A. For Prosecution:
B. For Accused: Nil
C. For Court: Nil

3. Material Exhibits: NONE

JUDGEMENT
11.03.2024

INTRODUCTION:

1. Present case was registered under section 419, 420 IPC against the accused. Charge sheet was accordingly submitted and charges were framed against the accused under section 419, 420 IPC and accordingly explained to the accused in Hindi to which accused pleaded not guilty and claimed to be tried.

BRIEF FACTS:

2. As per the informant on the date of incidence the accused was caught appearing as a proxy candidate on behalf of one Sanjay Kumar. Hence, the present case.

POINT FOR DETERMINATION:

3. On the basis of accusation against the accused this Court is required to examine:
 - a. Whether the accused was found cheating by personation?
 - b. Whether the accused had cheated and dishonestly induced to deliver the property?

REASONS FOR DECISION:

4. To prove the case prosecution had failed to examine any witness.
Thus, this is a case of no evidence against the accused.

FINDINGS ON THE POINT OF VICTIM

5. This Court had perused the entire material available on record and found that none is a victim of the offence.

FINAL DECISION:

6. Since no evidence has been produced against the accused the hence, it is held and accordingly

ORDERED

that the accused is hereby acquitted of all charges. He is discharged of his liability of bail bond and sureties.

Sumit Kumar Singh
ACJM, GOGRI, KHAGARIA

Dated this 11th Day of March, 2024

Certified that the Judgment was typed, corrected and pronounced in the open Court by me on this 11th Day of March, 2024.

Sumit Kumar Singh
ACJM, GOGRI, KHAGARIA

Dated this 11th Day of March, 2024.