

IN THE COURT OF SHRI ANIMESH RANJAN

JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE (F.C.), GOGARI, KHAGARIA || Court No.02

Complaint Case No.141C/2025

Kari Devi Vs. Prithvichandra Singh & Ors.

Date	ORDER WITH INITIALS OF THE JUDGE	Remarks
03.07.2026	1. The record has been placed before the Court for recording the statement of the witness(es). Upon careful perusal of the record, it is evident that the complainant, Kari Devi, has instituted the present complaint case against four accused persons, namely 1.Prithvichandra Singh, 2. Indradev Singh, 3. Munnu Singh, 4. Pawan Singh, 5.Vinay Singh, 6.Buto Kumar, all residents of village Baltara, Police Station- Gogri, District- Khagaria, 7.Sudhir Singh, village- Pachauth, P.S.- Beldaur, 8.Manoj Mahto, village-Jamalpur, P.S.-Baliya, Khagaria. 2. It is observed that the complainant was directed to produce enquiry witness before the Court for recording statement and that of her enquiry witnesses, with the final opportunity granted vide order dated 11.06.2026. Despite being provided many dates for the purpose, the complainant has consistently failed to appear. Furthermore, the complaint was filed on 09.06.2025, and more than thirteen months have elapsed since then. This prolonged inaction clearly demonstrates the complainant's lack of interest in prosecuting the case. 3. The Hon'ble supreme court in <i>Pepsi Foods Ltd. v. Special Judicial Magistrate</i>, (1998) 5 SCC 749, has ruled that summoning of an accused in a criminal case is a serious matter. Criminal law cannot be set into motion as a matter of course. Further, Magistrate has to carefully scrutinise the evidence brought on record and may even himself put questions to the complainant and his witnesses to elicit answers to find out the truthfulness of the allegations or otherwise and then examine if any offence is prima facie committed by all or any of the accused.	

IN THE COURT OF SHRI ANIMESH RANJAN
JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE (F.C.), GOGARI, KHAGARIA || Court No.02

Complaint Case No.141C/2025

Kari Devi Vs. Prithvichandra Singh & Ors.

Contd. ...
03.07.2026

Therefore, it is crystal clear that the Complainant does not want to proceed with the case.

4. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case it appears that the complainant has been given sufficient opportunity to follow up his case, but remained reluctant in approach leads to conclude that complainant is not interested in the instant case. Further it is crystal clear that the complainant does not want to proceed with the case. There is no material on record for proceeding. **Therefore, this Court deems it fit to dismiss the instant complaint under Section 203 Cr.P.C. or Section 226 of B.N.S.S.**

5. Office Clerk is directed to recall processes, if any and comply with other procedural requirements. Let this record be consigned to the record room accordingly.

Case Stands Disposed.

Dictated



J.M.F.C, Gogari.

Date of Order	
Date of reserving Order	
Uploading date	
Uploaded by	