

BRKH010016952026



Presented on : 21-02-2026
Registered on : 21-02-2026
Decided on : 04-06-2026
Duration : 0 years, 3 months, 11 days

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE
At Khagaria (Bihar)
(Presided over by Shri Rajesh Kumar Bachchan)**

Anticipatory Bail Application No. 218 of 2026
Pappu Kumar Yadav @ Pappu Kumar Vs. State of Bihar
Complaint Case No. 498C/2024
u/Ss. 115, 191(2), 351, 108 and 85 of B.N.S.
and Section 4 of The Dowry Act

Date : 04.06.2026

ORDER

1. Applicant Pappu Kumar Yadav @ Pappu Kumar has filed aforesaid application u/S. 482 of B.N.S.S. apprehending his arrest in the aforesaid complaint case.
2. Briefly stated facts of the case as per complaint petition of complainant is that she was married with the applicant on 14.07.2021. After two months of marriage her ordeal started and she was tortured for non fulfillment of demand of dowry by the applicant and his family members. Ultimately, on 20.03.2024 she was thrown out from

the matrimonial home by the accused persons taking away all her jewelry.

3. Ld. Counsel for applicant has submitted that applicant is quite innocent and has committed no offence. Ld. counsel has further submitted that applicant has been falsely implicated in this case. Ld. counsel has further submitted that cognizance has been taken on 19.10.2024 without consideration of fact and without any base. Ld. Counsel has further submitted that applicant is ready to abide by all conditions imposed by this court if he is enlarged on anticipatory bail.
4. Conversely, Ld. P.P. for State has strongly opposed the bail application.
5. Heard. Perused the bail application and L.C.R.
6. Matter was sent for mediation at the request of Ld. counsels for both sides. None of them appeared before the Ld. mediator. This Court also took efforts to explore the possibility of settlement between the parties. Complainant appeared but applicant preferred to avoid appearance. Ld Counsel for applicant took many adjournments and finally stated that the applicant is unable to appear. In view of aforesaid conduct of applicant who is in complete disregard of direction of this Court, this Court is not inclined to give him privilege of pre-arrest bail.

7. A.B.A No. 218 of 2026 is hereby **rejected**.

(Dictated)



(R.K. Bachchan)

Sessions Judge

Khagaria.

04.06.2026