

In the Court of District & Addl. Sessions Judge-V, Khagaria.

A.B.A. No.-617 of 2026
(Arising out of Beldaur P.S. Case No.- 88/2026)
Umakant Yadav & Others vrs. State of Bihar

Present :- Rumpa Kumari
D.A.S.J.-V, Khagaria.

13-07-2026

Apprehending arrest in connection with Beldaur P.S. Case No.- 88/2026, registered under Sections- 191(2), 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 352, 351 (2) & (3) of B.N.S., petitioners **1. Umakant Yadav 2. Satish Kumar & 3. Uday Kumar**, have filed this instant petition for anticipatory bail. Ld. Defence counsel certifies that no other anticipatory/regular bail petition is pending on behalf of the petitioners either in this Court or any other superior Court and the petitioners have no criminal antecedent. The prosecution has no objection to the submissions.

Instant case has been lodged as per written application of the informant **Rambilash Yadav** alleging therein that on 18-03-2026 at about 05:00 P.M. in the evening, with the intention of inspect his private land **1. Umakant Yadav (petitioner) 2. Sachin Kumar 3. Mukesh Yadav 4. Ajit Kumar @ Amar Yadav 5. Anand Kuamr 6. Kunal Kumar 7. Prabhat Yadav 8. Ashish Kumar 9. Kundan Kumar 10. Bulbul Yadav 11. Manju Kumar 12. Bimal Yadav 13. Ritik Kumr 14. Satish Yadav (petitioner) 15. Jaykant Yadav 16. Uday Yadav (petitioner)**, armed with lathi, iron rods and country-made pistols, were piling pillar in informant's land, on receiving information, Rambilash Yadav (informant) with Rajkishore Yadav reached there, on seeing them, all the accused started abusing and assaulted them with intention to kill, caused head injury on informant's head and due to which he became unconscious. Thereafter, he was treated in PHC Beldaur.

The Learned Counsel for the petitioner Sri Pankaj Kumar submitted that petitioners are quite innocent and they have not committed the offence. They have falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioners have no criminal antecedent. Petitioner no. 4, Uday Kumar is a mentally disturbed person and is under treatment in Ranchi Mental Hospital. There is case and counter case between the informant and the petitioners. Petitioners have an apprehension of arrest by the police, therefore, he is praying for anticipatory bail.

The learned Additional P.P. for the state opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of this petitioner on the basis of nature and gravity of offence.

Heard Ld. Counsels of both the parties and perused the case record. From perusal of the case record I find that his case is filed as Beldaur P.S. Case No.-88/2026. According to bail petition and case-diary there is no specific allegation against these petitioners. In para 3, 8, 9 & 10 of the case-diary all the witnesses have supported the case. According to para 26, 27, 28 & 29 all the independent witnesses have supported the case and stated that there is a land dispute between both the parties and people from both sides were injured in the scuffle. There is case and counter case between both the parties. As para-37 of the case diary petitioners have no criminal antecedent. On



In the Court of District & Addl. Sessions Judge-V, Khagaria.

A.B.A. No.-482 of 2026

(Arising out of Complaint Case No.- 120C/2026)

Amzad Sah & Others vrs. State of Bihar

Contd....

13-07-2026

perusal of FIR it transpires that there is no specific allegation against these petitioners. No recovery of bullets from the place of occurrence by the I.O. There is a land dispute and case-counter case between both the parties. *At Para 49 Injuries found simple in nature.*

Considering the facts and circumstances discussed above this anticipatory bail application of the petitioners 1. Umakant Yadav 2. Nirmal Kumar 3. Satish Kumar & 4. Uday Kumar is hereby **allowed**. Petitioners are directed to surrender before the Ld. Court below within 15 days after receipt the copy of this order and on such surrender or in the event of their arrest by Police, they shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties each of the like amount to the satisfaction of Ld. Court below subject to the condition as laid down u/s 438 of Cr.P.C. Further conditions are that -

- (1) One of the bailors shall be close relative of the petitioners who will give an affidavit that how he/she is related with the petitioners.
- (2) In future, if it is found that the petitioners have knowingly concealed their criminal antecedent then prosecution will be at liberty to move from the cancellation of their bail bonds.
- (3) The petitioners will also file an undertaking along with bail bonds that they will not threaten the witnesses or tamper with the evidence after their release, or they will disturb the informant in any way after their release.

Accordingly, this Anticipatory Bail Application of aboved named petitioners the petitioners **1. Umakant Yadav 2. Satish Kumar & 3. Uday Kumar**, is hereby **allowed**.

Office is directed to send a copy of this order to the Court concerned forthwith.

Dictated
Rumpa Kumari
(Rumpa Kumari) 13/07/26
Dist. & Addl. Sessions
Judge-V, Khagaria
13-07-2026

