

For Petitioner : Ld. Counsel Sri Ravindra Prasad.

For The State : Ld. Addl. P. P. Smt. Rajani Kumari.

Date of order: 18.04.2026

1. The present anticipatory bail application has been filed on behalf of the accused petitioner namely **1. Bipin Yadav, S/o Bino Yadav, aged about 46 years, R/o Vill- Soharba, P.S.- Chautham, District- Khagaria**, who are apprehending his arrest in connection with Mansi P.S Case No. 255/2025 registered under sections 109, 303(2), 308(2), 351(2), 351(3), 3(5) of the BNS.
2. As per FIR, informant Deepak Kumar S.H.O. Mansi P.S. alleged that on dated 13.11.2025, at about 08:00 a.m., informant received secret information that 10-12 people armed with weapons were forcibly cultivating a disputed land near the residence of Shankar Yadav in village Singharsama, Pachhatar Diyara, and were also firing among themselves. For verification of this information and necessary action, a team was formed after informing senior officials, when informant and police team reached the residence of Shankar Yadav in Singharsama, Pacchatar Diyara they saw 10-12 people firing illegal weapons among themselves. Upon seeing the police force, they started running away. With the help of accompanying officers and police force, three people were apprehended however approximately nine to ten people managed to escape. The names and addresses of those three apprehended persons were asked and they disclosed their names respectively 1. Angand Yadav, 2. Gaurav Kumar, and 3. Dinesh Yadav. The place was searched and at the instance of apprehended accused persons 02 country-made rifles with magazines, one country-made pistol, 45 live cartridges and two bindolia were recovered. The apprehended persons disclosed the name of the persons who had ran away as 1. Shankar Yadav, 2. Shuvankar Yadav, 3. Pappu Yadav, 4. Chandan Yadav, 5. Rajesh Yadav, 6. Rajo Yadav, 7. Vinod Yadav, 8. Anurudh Yadav and 9. Bipin Yadav. On the basis of above allegation the present FIR was registered.
3. Learned counsel for petitioners submits that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence and falsely been implicated in this case. No other bail application against this petitioner is pending either before this court or Hon'ble High Court Patna. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent. That it is alleged that two country-made rifle and 45 live cartridges was recovered from bush near Basa of Shankar Yadav and the seizure list was prepared. The name of accused persons who fled away was disclosed by spy. That all alleged sections does not attract against this petitioner. It is further submitted that similarly situated accused Shuvankar Yadav @ Abhinandan Yadav, Pappu Yadav, Shankar Yadav and Chandan Yadav have been granted anticipatory bail by Hon'ble High Court vide Crl. Misc. No.- 19560/2026.
4. On the other hand, Ld. Addl. P.P. opposed the prayer for bail.
5. Heard both the parties in detail and perused the case diary.

Pawan Kumar
18-4-26

6. The FIR has been registered for the offence punishable under sections 109, 303(2), 308(2), 351(2), 351(3), 3(5) of the BNS. Re-statement of the informant is recorded vide para no. 4 and statement of witnesses have been recorded vide para no. 05, 15 and 16 of the Case diary. Injury report of informant has been produced vide para 71 of the Case Diary.
7. On perusal of the record, it transpires that though the name of petitioner is surfaced in the FIR itself but the same came on record on the basis of disclosure statement of accused persons who were apprehended at the spot. None of the examined witnesses have stated that about the role of petitioner. Further, similarly situated accused Shuvankar Yadav @ Abhinandan Yadav, Pappu Yadav, Shankar Yadav and Chandan Yadav have been granted anticipatory bail by Hon'ble High Court vide Crl. Misc. No.- 19560/2026.
8. Considering aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, the anticipatory bail application of petitioner namely **Bipin Yadav is hereby allowed**. He is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of Ld. Court below subject to the condition that one of the bailors of the petitioner must be his family member and further with the conditions, as laid down in Section 482(2) BNSS. Accordingly, this bail application is disposed of.
9. The observation made in the present bail petition order are for purpose of deciding the present petition and do not affect the factual matrix of investigation / trial, which is separate issue as per law.
10. Office is directed to send the trial court record, if any, to the court concerned along with the copy of this order.

Dictated

[Signature]
10-4-26

Addl. Sessions Judge - III, Khagaria.