

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-II, Jehanabad
Bail Petition No.-467/26

1. Mantu Kumar @ Vicky, aged about 24 years,
S/o Manoj Yadav,
R/o village- Pakhanpura, P.S.-Okari, Distt.-Jehanabad.

.....Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Bihar through Additional Public Prosecutor.

.....Opposite Party

For the petitioner/s : Mr. Girijesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the O.P. State : Md. Afaque Alam, APP

ORDER

Dated: 15.07.2026

This regular bail petition filed on behalf of above named petitioner, who is in custody since 21.02.2026 in connection with Makhdumpur P.S Case No. 138/2026 registered under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 352, 351(2), 351(3), 3(5) of BNS & 25(1-B) (a), 26, 27, 35 Arms Act.

The prosecution case in brief as per the fardbeyan of the informant Putush Kumar is that on dated 15.02.2026 at 1.00 P.M when he went to the house of accused Vimlesh Kumar to ask that why he abuses his younger brother and threatens to kill him. Thereafter the accused Vimlesh along with one of his relatives namely Muntu Kumar @ Vicky (petitioner) armed with country made pistol came and started firing at the house of Karu. Then on hearing noise, the informant started chasing them Vimlesh fired upon the informant causing fire injury in his palm and petitioner Mantu Kumar @ Vicky opened fire with intention of killing the informant due to which the informant received injury in his upper part of right thigh. Still he apprehended Vimlesh and the villagers caught another accused Mantu Kumar. Further the injured informant was taken to Makhdumpur Sadar Hospital for treatment and later referred to Magadh Medical College, Gaya, where his fardbeyan was recorded.

It is submitted on behalf of petitioner that he is innocent and has committed no offence rather he has been falsely implicated in this case. The allegations levelled against him is false and baseless. He submits that there is case and counter case between the parties. The petitioner has one criminal antecedent bearing Jehanabad P.S case no. 675/24 U/s 137(2), 96 of BNS. Moreover the petitioner is in custody since 21.02.2026. It is therefore, prayed that the petitioner may be enlarged

on bail.

Ld. A.P.P. vehemently opposed the prayer of bail.

Heard the argument and perused the record. Perusal of case diary shows that the accused petitioner was found firing indiscriminately upon the house of the informant and also upon the informant causing injuries upon his hand and leg. He was caught red handed while firing upon the informant. The witnesses in their statements have also supported the case of the prosecution. Further on perusal of the seizure list a loaded country-made pistol, five live cartridges and an empty cartridge had also been recovered. Considering all these facts and circumstances including the nature of allegation and gravity of offence and criminal antecedent. I am not inclined to grant bail to this accused petitioner. Accordingly, this bail petition stands **rejected**.

Sd/-

Addl. Sessions Judge-II
Jehanabad