

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-1, JEHANABAD

A.B.P. No. 937 of 2026

Makhdumpur (Tehta) P.S. Case No. 354/2026

Dhananjay @ Dhananjay Prasad Dhirendra

Vs

State of Bihar

22-06-2026

An application for anticipatory bail filed on behalf of the petitioners namely 1. Dhananjay @ Dhananjay Prasad Dhirendra, 2. Rinki Kumari, 3. Chitranjaan Kumar @ Chitranjan Mahto and 4. Shekhar Kumar @ Chandan Shekhar Kumar, who are apprehending their arrest, in connection with Makhdumpur (Tehta) P.S. Case No. 354/2026, under sections 126(2), 115(2), 137(2), 140(3), 352, 351(2), 3(5) B.N.S. is pressed today for hearing. The copy of the bail petition has been served to the Ld P.P.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned P.P. for the State.

Prosecution story, in brief, is that One Aparna Kuamri is the informant of the case, who has alleged in the written report that on 25.4.26 during marriage ceremony of her daughter namely Nirmala kumari, one lady came and took away her niti Kittu aged about 4 years. During course of search came to know that one lady namely Lalita Devi took away her nati and proceeds towards Highway. Thereafter, they went to that direction and noticed that aforesaid woman was apprehended at 06:00 pm with kidnapped child and on demand she told kthat child is her grandson and deied to handover the said child, therefore, they snatched child from her possession. It is further stated that ;on 26.4.26 at about 07:00 a.m. relatives of Lalita Devi Shekhar Kuamr, Rajiv kumar, Rinki Kumari, Chitranjan Kumar along with 15 unknown persons came at her hosue and started abusing her and on protest, caught hold her hair and started dragging and assaulted her. When her brother objected, accused persons assaulted him and threatened him of dire consequences.

While pressing the bail petition, it has been submitted by the Ld. lawyer on behalf of the accused petitioners that petitioners are innocent and has not committed any offence as allelged in the FIR. Petitioners carry no criminal antecedent in their credit except petitioner Chandrashekhar Kumar who has been figured as accused in one case as Jehanabad P.S. case no. 470/23. Actually, informant has lodged a false and concocted case after taking the colusion of police. Actually, co-accused Lalita Devi was suffering from mental disease and her treatment was going on in Kake Ranchi as well as Nirvandu Clinic Shaktipuri Gayajee. When altercation took place in

between Lalita Devi and informant, these accused persons forbidden the informant. Further, submitted that alleged occurrence took place on 25.4.26 and the information was given after abnormal delay of 6 days on 01.05.26 without mentioning any explanation. Petitioners are ready to abide all the terms and conditons imposed by the court. Accordingly, prayer has been made to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail.

The learned P.P. vehemently opposed the prayer for pre-arrest bail of the petitioners.

From the perusal of case record, it transpires that co-accused had taken away the 4 years old nati of the informant from a marriage ceremony, upon knowing about the incident, the family members of the informant chasded her and apprehended her in an auto riksha and having along with her husband, who is petitioner no. 1 and the child has also been recovered. Thereafater, the petitioners are alleged to have gone to the informant's house and committed assault upon the informant and her family members. From the perusal of case diary it appears that informant in his restatement, and the other witnesses in their statement have supported the case of informant. From the perusal of para no. 36 of the case diary it shows that during supervision police has found the case true. Allegation against the petitioners are serious in nature. Investigation of the case is still going on. There is no reason or occasion for false implication of the petitioners.

Considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case, as well as gravity of offence, I do not consider it a fit case for grant of Anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the instant Anticipatory petition is hereby rejected.

(Dictated)

*1st Addl. Sessions Judge
Jehanabad.*