

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge II,
Jehanabad

A.B.P 788/2026

Ghoshi P.S Case No. 37/2025

Dhananjay Das and another Vs. State of Bihar

11.06.2026

An anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of accused-petitioners 1. Dhananjay Das and 2. Suresh Das, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Ghoshi P.S Case No. 37/2025 lodged u/s 191(2), 191(3), 333, 126(2), 190, 115(2), 109, 303(2), 352, 351(2), 351(3) of B.N.S & added section 103(2) of B.N.S has been pressed today.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P for the state.

The prosecution story in brief as per the written report of the informant is that on 21.01.2025 at about 12 pm the informant was sitting at his home and when he came out of his home then he saw the peg in which his cow is tied was worshiped with red vermilion upon which he inquired that who had done this while abusing. Thereafter accused petitioner along with other co-accused persons namely Dhananjay Das, Suresh Das, Gautam Kumar, Naulesh Kumar, Kavita Devi, Shobha Devi and Pinki Devi started abusing and assaulting in his home and when his son Bittu Kumar came to save him then he was assaulted by lathi and got injury on head. In the meantime the father of the informant came to save him then Dhananjay Das assaulted his father with iron rod and his head ruptured. It is further alleged by the informant that his another son Kuldeep Kumar came to save him then he was also assaulted by Naulesh Kumar and Gautam Kumar and received injury on his head. In course of assault the accused persons had also snatched the gold chain from neck of both sons of the informant. Accordingly, the FIR is registered.

Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge II,
Jehanabad

A.B.P 788/2026

Ghoshi P.S Case No. 37/2025

Dhananjay Das and another Vs. State of Bihar

has submitted that the petitioners are quite innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case. It has further submitted that no bail petition on behalf of the petitioners has been filed either before this court or before Honb'le High Court Patna. Further the petitioner have no criminal antecedent. There is case and counter case between the parties. Hence, it is prayed to release them on anticipatory bail.

On the other hand Ld. A.P.P opposed the prayer for bail.

Heard both the parties and also perused the case record. Perusal of the record shows that the petitioners are FIR named accused persons and they have actively participated in the commission of the crime. The other witnesses including the informant has supported the case of the prosecution. The allegation against the accused petitioners is that he along with the other co-accused has assaulted son and father of the informant resulting which the son of the informant succumbed to his injuries in course of treatment. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the heinous nature of the crime the anticipatory bail filed on behalf of 1. Dhananjay Das and 2. Suresh Das is hereby **rejected**.

Dictated

S/d

A.S.J-II