

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Jehanabad.

A.B. P. No. 593/2026

Ramesh Manjhi Vs. State of Bihar

Ramesh Manjhi, Son of Nagina Manjhi. R/o village-Lodipur, P.S.-Hulasganj, Distt.-
Jehanabad.

..... Petitioner

Vrs.

State of Bihar Opposite Party

For the petitioner :- Sri Vinay Kumar, Ld. Advocate.

For the O. P. (State) :- Sri Shardanand Kumar, Ld. P. P.

Present:-

Vikas Kumar,
Sessions Judge, Jehanabad

ORDER

18.07.2026

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned P.P for the State on the anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the accused/petitioner namely Ramesh Manjhi, who is apprehending his arrest, in connection with Hulasganj P. S. Case no. 90/2024 for offence under sections 341, 323, 504, 506, 498A, 494/34 I.P.C.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that no bail application either regular or anticipatory has been ever moved either before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court prior to this application and the petitioner has no criminal antecedent. It is further submitted that the petitioner is the husband of the informant and he never tortured, harassed and assaulted the informant in any manner. It is further submitted that in this case cognizance has been taken and summons have been issued against the petitioner. At last prayer for anticipatory bail has been made.

On the other hand, the learned P.P has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Stated in brief, the case of the informant as per the informant's written application is that she was married with the petitioner 5 years ago but he has performed second marriage and whenever the informant went to her matrimonial house, then the petitioner and co-accused persons used to abuse and assault the informant and they ousted the informant from her matrimonial house and the informant was not maintained by the petitioner being her husband.

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Jehanabad.

A.B. P. No. 593/2026

Ramesh Manjhi Vs. State of Bihar

Perused the record. From perusal of record, it appears that the petitioner is the husband of the informant and there is allegation against the petitioner of harassing and tutoring the informant. It is the duty of the husband to keep the informant with honour and dignity but the petitioner has performed second marriage with co-accused.

Considering the above noted facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to allow this anticipatory bail petition and accordingly, this anticipatory bail petition is hereby **rejected**.

(Dictated)



(Vikas Kumar)
Sessions Judge, Jehanabad

Date of Order	18.07.2026
Date of reserving order	NA
Uploading date	18.07.2026
Uploaded by	Ritesh Kr.