

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Jehanabad.

A.B.P. No. 527/2026

Manju Devi Vs. State of Bihar

Manju Devi, Wife of late Bhutali Sapera. R/o village-Makhdumpur, Pali, P.S.-Pali, Distt.-
Jehanabad.

..... Petitioner

Vrs.

State of Bihar

..... Opposite Party

For the petitioner :- Sri Shyam Kumar Prasad, Ld. Advocate.

For the O. P. (State) :- Sri Shardanand Kumar, Ld. P. P.

Present:-

Brajesh Kumar,
Sessions Judge, Jehanabad

ORDER

24.04.2026

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned P.P for the State on the anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the accused/petitioner Manju Devi, who is apprehending her arrest, in connection with Pali P.S case no. 30/2026 for offence U/Ss- 126(2), 115(2), 74, 109(1), 303(2), 352, 351(2) and 3 (5) B. N. S.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is innocent and she has been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that no bail application either regular or anticipatory has been ever moved either before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court prior to this application and the petitioner has no criminal history. It is further submitted that the petitioner was not present on the date and place of occurrence. It is further submitted that both the parties are family members. At last prayer for anticipatory bail has been made.

On the other hand, the learned P.P has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Stated in brief, the prosecution case as per the written application of the informant Anju Devi, is that on 10-02-2026, at about 05-30 P. M., the informant's niece Anshu Kumari was coming at her house, in the mean time, the co-accused Pramod Sapera began to abuse her and when the same was protested, the co-accused Pramod Sapera threw the informant on the surface after catching her hair and began to assault mercilessly. It is further alleged that the informant's husband came there to save, he was also assaulted by means of Lathi and Danda by the co-accused persons Chandan Sapera and Ranjan Sapera due to which he sustained head injury and blood started oozing out. It is further alleged that the co-accused Pramod Sapera threw the informant's niece Anshu Kumari on the surface and assaulted mercilessly whereas the petitioner Manju

In the Court of Sessions Judge, Jehanabad.

A.B.P. No. 527/2026

Manju Devi Vs. State of Bihar

Devi assaulted by means of brick and stone which hit the family member of the informant. It is further alleged that the co-accused Chandan Sapera snatched gold Mangalsutra from the neck of the informant. The injured was brought to Primary Health Centre for treatment. Accordingly, the FIR has been registered.

Perused the record. From perusal of the record, it appears that both parties are the members of the same family and the allegation of theft is not supported by the witnesses in para-5, 6 and 7 of the case diary. It also appears from injury report attached to the case diary that the doctor has found simple injury caused by hard and blunt substance on the person of the injured. The petitioner is a woman and as per para-23 of the case diary, the petitioner has got no criminal history.

Considering the above noted facts and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail petition is hereby **allowed** and in case of her arrest or surrender before the learned lower court within four weeks from the date of receipt of this order, the accused/petitioner Manju Devi is ordered to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 15,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the court concerned subject to conditions laid down u/s/-482(2) of B.N.S.S.

(Dictated)

(Brajesh Kumar)
Sessions Judge, Jehanabad

24.04.2026

Date of order	24.04.2026
Date of reserving order	NA
Uploading date	24.04.2026
Uploaded by	Ritesh Kr.