

Court of Addl. Sessions Judge -I , Jehanabad
B.P No. 231/2026
Jehanabad PS Case No. 204/2026
Ritik Sharma @ Ritik Kumar v/s State of Bihar
ORDER

22.04.2026 –

An application for bail has been filed on behalf of accused petitioner namely, Ritik Sharma @ Ritik kumar, who is in custody since 01.03.2026, in connection with Jehanabad PS Case No. 204/2026, under Sections 318(4), 317(5) B.N.S. has been pressed today for hearing.

It has been submitted by the Ld. Counsel on behalf of the accused petitioner that the petitioner is quite innocent and falsely implicated in this case on mere suspicion of being found in the tempo. Petitioner carries no criminal case in his credit. Actually, petitioner is carpenter and doing his work at Aurangabad and co-accused Saroj Yadav was also living in Aurangabad and due to aforesaid reason, the petitioner came in contact of Saroj Yadav and Saroj Yadav on 27.02.26 came and told him that he has purchased one tempo in Telhara and due to lagan of marriage, another persons is not available, therefore, he asked the petitioner to accompany him to Telhara and on saying of Saroj Yadav petitioner went Telhara along with petitioner and stayed in night and thereafter the petitioner was coming with Saroj Yadav and actually Saroj Yadav himself was driving the tempo, but seeing the police succeeded in fleeing away but the petitioner being an innocent person having no knowledge regarding the seized tempo to be a stolen property, remained sitting there. Further submitted that police under coercion and threat taken signature of the petitioner on printed form as well as on plain paper, out of which one is converted into seizure list and another may be converted in confessional statement. Petitioner is a man of means and is ready to furnish bail bond with sureties to the satisfaction of the court. The petitioner is in custody since 01.03.2026. Accordingly, prayer has been made to enlarge the petitioner on bail .

On the other hand, learned P.P. opposed the prayer of bail.

The case of prosecution as per typed report of one PSI Basant Prasad of traffic police station, in brief, is that on 28.2.2026 at about 09:30 a.m. he along with his associates started vehicles at Arwal More Jehanabad and during course of checking at about 12:30 p.m. he noticed that one green colour CNG tempo bearing registration no. BR01PP 5550 from Arwal more came there, stopped and started checking, on being checking through HD Fine machine the chasis no. of said registration no. BR01PP 5550 has been deducted as MD2B47AX9NWH88733 and the name of owner has been deducted as Pankaj Kumar. But, on going through physical verification of the said registration no. BR01PP 5550, Chasis no. has been deducted as MD2B17AXXPWL53308 and owner name has been deducted as Rahul Kuamr. During course of inquiry apprehended person who was

driving tempo disclosed his name as Ritik Sharma and on being asked he failed to produce any paper and stated that he is going to Aurangabad after taking tempo from one Saroj Yadav. It has been deducted that the said tempo is stolen properties. Therefore, as per rule seized vehicle brought to the police station and apprehended accused Ritik Sharma remanded in this case. .

Heard and perused the case record. From the perusal of case record, the thrust of allegation against the present petitioner is of he was apprehended while driving the stolen vehicle. From the perusal of para no. 25 of the case diary, it appears that during course of verification through DTO Jehanabad, Registration no. BR21P8849, Engine No. AZXWPL97328 and the name of owner as Rahul Kumar has been deducted of seized tempo bearing Chasis no. MD2B17AXXPWL53308 whereas on being verification through Registration no. BR-01PP-5550 of the seized tempo, Pankaj Kumar as owner and Chasis no. MD2B47AX9NWH88733 and Engine no. AZXWNH81533 has been deducted, which is quite different from each other. From the perusal of para no. 38 of the case diary, it appears that during investigation, on being asked through mobile, Rahul Kumar disclosed that earlier he had purchased the seized CNG tempo Registration no. BR21P8849 through Mahindra Finance Co. on failure to deposit its installment, the said tempo was seized by agent of Mahindra Finance and handed over to Mahadeo Repo Agency. It is evident that no case had been lodged regarding theft of seized tempo. Petitioner carry no criminal antecedent in his credit which is evident from para no. 35 of the case diary and he is in custody since 01.03.2026.

Considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case, clean antecedent as well as incarceration period of the petitioner, I am inclined to enlarge the accused petitioner on bail. Hence, accused petitioner is hereby directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs 15,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Ld. trial Court along with further condition that one of the bailor shall be his family member/close relative of the petitioner, who will file an undertaking as to how he/she is related with the petitioner with another condition that petitioner will file an undertaking that he will never indulge in similar offence in future and cooperate during investigation

(Dictated & corrected by)

Sd/-

Addl. Sessions Judge I,
Jehanabad

Office of 1st Addl. Sessions Judge, Jehanabad

Memo no.- /2026 Dated -04-2026

Copy of order sent to the court of Ld. C.J.M, Jehanabad for information and needful.

Addl. Sessions Judge-I,
Jehanabad

