

Court of Additional Sessions Judge -I , Jehanabad
A.B.P. No. 433/2026
Kako P.S. Case No. 88/2025
Suday Yadav @ Suday Kumar Vs State of Bihar

06.06.2026 –

An application for anticipatory bail filed on behalf of the petitioner namely Suday Yadav @ Suday Kumar, who is apprehending his arrest, in connection with Kako P.S. Case No. 85/2025 under Sections 318(4), 319(2), 322, 336(3), 338, 339, 340(2), 61(2), 126(2), 115(2), 352, 351(2), 351(3) B.N.S. has been pressed today for hearing.. The copy of the bail petition has been served to the Ld P.P.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned P.P. for the State.

Heard Mr. Sanjay kumar Chaudhary, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Shardanand, learned special P.P. representing the state.

It has been submitted by the Ld. counsel on behalf of the accused petitioner that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence and allegation against the petitioner is false, baseless, misconceived and devoid of any factual or legal foundation and the same are based upon the concocted and fabricated story. Petitioner has been falsely implicated as son of co-accused Uday Yadav with intention to extort to give undue pressure at the instance of enemies of the petitioner under the conspiracy. Petitioner carries 03 criminal antecedents as 1. Makhdumpur P.S. case no. 129/23, Jehanabad P.S. case no. 333/25, Jehanabad P.S. case no. 337/25 in his credit. Further, submitted that investigation of the case has already been completed and charge sheet has been submitted keeping the supplementary investigation pending against petitioner and others . Accordingly, prayer has been made to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

On the other hand, learned P.P. vehemently opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail.

Heard and perused the case record. One Rajkumar Sao is the informant of the case, who has lodged FIR in Kako Police station alleging therein that the ancestral land of the informant situated at Mauja Jaitipur Bara, police station no. 610, circle Kako comprising different khata and plot number having a total area of 183 dismal, is alleged to have been fraudulently and dishonestly got registered in the name of Uday Yadav, in conspiracy with other co-accused persons. The informant came to know that on 12.6.124 the co-accused with deliberate and fraudulent intention, impersonated the informant by producing another person to falsely represent himself as the informant at the time of registration and thereby got the aforesaid land registered in his name. The said impersonator has been identified as co-accused namely Vikash Kishore Singh and witnessed by another co-accused Sunil Kumar.

From the perusal of case record it appears that petitioner along with co-accused persons after committing fraudulent, adducing another person in place informant namely

Rajkumar Sao at the time of registration and got registered the land of informant. From the perusal of Para no. 3, 6,7, 25 of the case diary it appears that witnesses examined during investigation have fully supported the case of prosecution. From the perusal of para no. 32 of the case diary it also transpires that petitioner along with co-accused persons had kidnapped the informant of the case, and thereafter his dead body was recovered in a decomposed condition. Petitioners carry three criminal cases in his credit as 1. Makhdumpur P.S. case no. 129/23, Jehanabad P.S. case no. 333/25, Jehanabad P.S. case no. 337/25. Supplementary investigation against the petitioner is still going on. Allegation against the petitioner is serious in nature. There is no reason or occasion for false implication of the accused petitioner.

Considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case as well as gravity of the offence, I do not consider it a fit case for grant of Anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the instant Anticipatory petition is hereby rejected .

(Dictated & corrected by)

*Sd/-
Addl. Sessions Judge-I,
Jehanabad*

