

**Court of Addl. Sessions Judge I, Jehanabad**  
**A.B.P No. 380/2026**  
**Jehanabad (Kalpa) PS case no. 990/2019**  
**Tunnu Kumar @ Nandan Kumar**  
**v/s**  
**State of Bihar**

25-03-2026

An Application for anticipatory bail filed on behalf of accused petitioner namely Tunnu Kumar @ Nandan Kumar, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Jehanabad (Kalpa) PS case no. 990/2019 u/s 147, 148,149,380, 302, 120(B) IPC and 27 Arms Act has been pressed today for hearing.

Heard Ld. Counsel of the petitioner and the Ld. PP, representing the State.

Prosecution story is in brief that one Ganesh Yadav is the informant of the case who has given his fardbeyan before the Jehanabad (Kalpa OP) P.S. alleging therein that on 27.11.2019 at about 02.00 A.M. while he along with his mother Sabuniya Devi were sleeping in the house. On hearing sound, he woke up and saw that Dharmendra Singh equipped with pistol, Monu Kumar equipped with gun, Tuunu Kumar equipped with pistol, Jhannu Kumar equipped with iron rod and lathi, Chandan Kumar equipped with gun, Vijay Singh, Awadhesh Singh equipped with iron rod and lathi, Suman Kumar equipped with iron rod and lathi and Raushan Kumar equipped with gun entered the house. Awadhesh Singh was ordering to kill Sabunaiya Devi as they have killed her devar Saguni Yadav as they are not vacating the land. In the meantime Dharmendra Kuamr fired from pistol on the chick and Tunnu fired on the back of his mother, who died on spot. Chandan Kumar pointed gun upon him, but anyhow he jump the boundary wall of the house and succeeded in fleeing away. During course of fleeing he noticed that five motorcycle were lying outside the house. He further stated that prior to the alleged occurrence Devendra Singh, Pawan Singh, Gunman Singh, Kundan Singh, Upendra Singh, Pramod Singh, Giresh Singh, Awadesh Singh, Dharmendra Singh have killed his uncle Saguni Yadav for which Jehanabad P.S. case no. 351/19 was lodged. Alleged occurrence took place on account of capturing the land.

While pressing the bail petition, it has been submitted by the Ld. Counsel on behalf of the accused petitioner that the petitioner is entirely innocent and has committed no offence. Petitioner carries no criminal antecedent in his credit. Actually, deceased was residing alone in the house and the informant was not physically present at P.O. house rather he was at his native village. From the perusal of para no. 141 of the case diary it shows that on the call of details, the location of petitioner was found at Rohtass which is far away from the alleged place of occurrence. Petitioner is ready to abide all terms and conditions imposed by the court. Accordingly, prayer has been made to enlarge the petitioner on Pre arrest bail.

The Ld. PP opposed the prayer of bail with vehemence and prayed to reject the

*petition of the accused petitioner. He further submitted that allegation against the petitioner is serious in nature and investigation is still continuing.*

*Heard both the parties and perused the case record along-with case diary. It transpires that petitioner is named in the FIR, who along equipped with arms and ammunition entered the house of the informant and kill his mother but informant anyhow after jumping boundary wall succeeded in fleeing away. There is specific allegation against the petitioner is of committing murder of the informant's mother. During course of investigation informant in his restatement and other witnesses have supported the case of prosecution. From the perusal of postmortem report annexed with case diary it shows that deceased Sabuniya Devi died due to bullet injury. Doctor has found double bullet injuries on Entry wound located at Right side of neck below the angle of mouth and also located at mid of epegastruc region and Exist wounds – located at left side below the left ear lobule and located at chest wall, at Right side lower axillary region. After getting case true charge sheet has been submitted against co-accused and supplementary investigation is still pending. Allegation against the petitioner is serious in nature. There is no reason or occasion for false implication of the accused petitioner.*

*Considering all the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegation as well as the gravity of the offence , I do not consider it a fit case for grant of Anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the instant Anticipatory petition is hereby rejected .*

**(Dictated & Corrected by)**

**Sd/-  
Addl. Sessions Judge I  
Jehanabad**

