

**In the Court of Sessions Judge, Jehanabad.**

**A. B. P. No. 358/2026**

***Pappu Devi & Anr. Vs. The State of Bihar***

-----  
1. Pappu Devi, Wife of- Vidya Bhushan Yadav,  
2. Bikki Kumar, Son of- Vidya Bhushan Yadav.  
Both R/o Village- Bibipur, P. S.- Pali, District- Jehanabad. ... Petitioners

Vrs.

The State of Bihar ... Opposite Party

-----  
For the Petitioners :- Sri Ajay Kumar, Ld. Advocate  
For the O. P. (State) :- Sri Shardanand Kumar, Ld. P. P.  
For the Informant :- Sri Mukesh Kumar, Ld. Advocate  
-----

Present: Brajesh Kumar,  
Sessions Judge, Jehanabad.

**ORDER**

11.03.2026

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners, the learned P. P. for the state and the learned counsel for the informant on the anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the accused/petitioners namely 1. Pappu Devi and 2. Bikki Kumar who are apprehending their arrest, in connection with, Pali P. S. Case No. 22-2026 for offence under sections 126(2), 115(2), 74, 303(2), 109(1), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of B.N.S.

The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are innocent, they have committed no offence and they have been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that no other bail application either anticipatory or regular has been filed on behalf of the petitioners either before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna nor the same is pending anywhere and the petitioners have got no criminal history. It is further submitted that there is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioners. At last, prayer for anticipatory bail has been made.

On the other hand, the learned P.P. and the learned counsel for the informant have opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Stated in brief, the prosecution case as per the written application of the informant Indramani Devi is that on 25.01.2026 at about 3:30 P.M., the dung of cow splattered on the wall of Vidhabhushan Yadav due to this, the petitioner Pappu Devi started abusing the informant and co-accused persons

**In the Court of Sessions Judge, Jehanabad.**

**A. B. P. No. 358/2026**

***Pappu Devi & Anr. Vs. The State of Bihar***

equipped with Lathi came there. It is further alleged that co-accused Jitendra Kumar assaulted the informant on her head causing head injury and co-accused Neeraj Kumar snatched Mangalsutra and ear-ring from the informant. It is further alleged that the petitioner Bikki Kumar brutally assaulted the informant with an intention to kill and on Hulla by the informant's daughter, the villagers came and intervened thereafter the injured was treated in sadar hospital, Jehanabad. Accordingly, the FIR has been registered.

Perused the record. From perusal of the record, it appears that the petitioners are named in the FIR with allegation that they and co-accused persons have actively participated in the occurrence and assaulted the informant due to which she sustained injury. The allegation of assault is supported by the injury report attached to the case diary. The informant in her re-statement in para-3 and the witnesses in their statements in paras- 7 and 8 of the case diary have supported the prosecution case and the case is still under investigation.

Considering the above noted facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners and accordingly, this anticipatory bail petition is hereby **rejected**.

(Dictated)

  
(Brajesh Kumar)  
Sessions Judge

11/03/2026

Date of order	11.03.2026
Date of reserving order	N/A
Uploading date	11-3-26
Uploaded by	Ritesh Kr.