

**Court of Addl. Sessions Judge -I , Jehanabad**  
**A.B.P No. 351/2026**  
**Barabar tourism (Vishunganj) PS Case No. 04/2026**  
**Surjdeo Singh @ Suraj v/s State of Bihar**

**18.03.2026 –**

An application for anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of accused petitioners namely 1. Surjdeo Singh @ Suraj, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Barabar tourism (Vishunganj) PS Case No. 04/2026 lodged under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 352, 351(2), 3(5) B.N.S. has been pressed today for hearing .

While pressing the bail petition, it has been submitted by the Ld. Counsel on behalf of the accused petitioner that the petitioner is innocent. Petitioner carries no criminal antecedent in his credit. Actually, informant side have brutally assaulted the accused parties as a result of which petitioner Surjdeo Singh has sustained serious injuries for which case has been lodged by Prashant Kuamr bearing Barabar tourism (Vishunganj) PS case No.05/2026. Both parties are gotiya there is family dispute between them. Further, submitted that all the sections are bailable in nature except 109(1) B.N.S. which is not applicable as there is no strong motive, no repetition of blow, no intervening circumstances. Accordingly, prayer has been made to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail .

On the other had, learned P.P. opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail.

Prosecution case, in brief, is that one Sunita Devi is the informant of this case who gave her written report before S.H.O. Barabar tourism (Vishunganj) alleging therein that on 06.01.2026 about 06:00 pm while she was irrigation her field lying in the Western side of village with electric motor, Suryadeo Singh and Usha Devi came there and disconnect the connection of electric motor. Thereafter, when he tried to joint the electric connection, accused persons started abusing her. On protest, Suryadeo Singh gave rod blow and fractured her head. On seeing this, when her husband went there to pacify the matter both accused persons assaulted him with brick and stones, her husband sustained injured. Both injured were treated in Sadar hospital Jehanabad.

Heard and perused the case record. From perusal of record, it appears that alleged occurrence took place on account of irrigating field. From the perusal of case diary it appears that petitioner is named in the FIR for the offence under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 352, 351(2), 3(5) B.N.S. From the perusal of case record it appears that there is specific allegation of assaulting with iron rod on the head of the informant is attributed against the petitioner. From the perusal of injury report annexed with case diary it shows that there is repetition of blow on the vital part of the body of the injured Sunita kumari which shows the intention of the petitioner. During investigation informant in her restatement and other witnesses in their statements have supported the case of prosecution. Allegation against the petitioner is serious in nature. Investigation of the case is still going

*on. There is no reason or occasion for false implication of the petitioners. It is pertinent to mention here that co-accused Usha Devi has been enlarged on anticipatory bail vide order dated 07.02.2026 passed in A.B.P. 160/26 by this court, against whom the allegation of assaulting is composite in nature.*

*Considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegation against the present petitioner, I do not consider it a fit case for grant of Anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the instant Anticipatory petition is hereby rejected .*

*(Dictated & corrected by)*

*Sd/-  
Addl. Sessions Judge I*

