

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge-III, Jehanabad
Anticipatory Bail Petition No.-77/2026

1. Ankit Kumar, aged about 21 years,
S/o-Rajesh Paswan,
R/o- Nursing Sthan Rampur, P.S. - Bodhgaya, Dist-Gaya.
2. Mantosh Kumar @ Baba @ Prithviraj Goswami, aged about 38 years, S/o Gupteshwar Goswami,
R/o- Chandauti, P.S. - Chandauti, Dist-Gaya.
At present R/o Aditya Guest House, near Sujata Bypass, Bodhgaya, P.S. Bodhgaya, District Gaya.
3. Rupesh Kumar, aged about 29 years, S/o Chandrika Paswan,
R/o- Visar, P.S. - Mufsil, Dist-Gaya.

.....Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Bihar through Additional Public Prosecutor.

.....Opposite Party

For the petitioner/s : Sri Manoj Kumar, Advocate

For the O.P. State : Sri Sunil Kumar Singh, APP

ORDER

Dated: 12.05.2026

This anticipatory bail petition was filed under section 482 of BNSS on behalf of Ankit Kumar and two above named petitioners who apprehend their arrest in connection with **Makhdumpur P.S. Case No. 43/25** for the offence **under Section 308(4), 352, 351(2), 351(3), 3(5) of BNS and 27 of Arms Act** which is pending in the court of **Ld. A.C.J.M. I, Jehanabad.**

The prosecution case as stated by the informant Raj Singh in his written application in brief is that the informant started construction at his land situated at Bodhgaya then some people threatened him to stop the construction work and sell the said land. At this he left the construction incomplete. Again on 25.01.2025 petitioners came at the house of informant at Kansara village with a vehicle bearing registration no. BR02BQ4963 and started to pressurize him for selling the land and on objection they threatened him for his life and gave two days time. On 28.01.2025 at 08.09 P.M. two persons came on a motorcycle and started firing gun shot at the house of informant which hit the gate and wall of the house of informant. The occurrence has been captured in C.C.T.V

installed at his house.

Learned counsel for the accused-petitioners submitted that accused-petitioners are innocent and have not committed any offence whatsoever and furthermore there is one criminal antecedent against petitioner no. 1 which is Bodhgaya P.S. case no. 36/2022 U/s 341, 323, 448, 427, 504, 506/34 of IPC. There is one criminal antecedent against petitioner no. 2 also which is Sherghat P.S. case no. 252/2022 U/s 341, 323, 354 (B), 506/34 of IPC and petitioner no. 3 has also two criminal antecedents which are Moffasil P.S. 101/2019 U/s 25(1-b)a/26/35 of Arms Act and Bodh Gaya P.S. case no. 546/2018 U/s 147, 148, 149, 323, 307, 379, 504 of IPC and 27 of Arms Act. He submits that the petitioners are not concerned with the alleged occurrence in any manner and they are implicated in this case due to previous enmity. Accordingly, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the accused-petitioners.

Learned A.P.P. Sunil Kumar Singh vehemently opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail.

Heard the argument and perused the record. Perusal of the record shows that case diary is available on record. Witnesses in their statements have supported the prosecution case. Paras no. 11, 12, 20, 33, 46 and 49 of the case diary are worth mentioning. Besides these accused petitioners admittedly carries criminal antecedents. Considering the nature of allegation, gravity of offence and criminal antecedents I am not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the accused-petitioners. Accordingly, this anticipatory bail petition stands **rejected**.

Sd/-
Additional Sessions Judge-III,
Jehanabad

Office of the Addl. Sessions Judge-III, Jehanabad
Memo No.-----/Dated
Copy forwarded to **Ld. A.C.J.M- I, Jehanabad** for information and needful.

Addl. Sessions Judge-III
Jehanabad