

IN THE COURT OF AMIT KUMAR, ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE V, JAMUI

-----**(B.A. No. 403/2026)**-----

Arising out of Chandramandi P.S. Case No. 117 Year 2026, District-Jamui

Chhotu Ojha @ Rajesh Kr. Jha & Ors. Vs. State of Bihar

For Petitioner : Ld. Counsel Sri Surendra Kr. Pandey.

For The State : Ld. Addl.P.P. Sri Sanjeev Kumar Singh.

For Informant: Ld. Counsel Sri Hare Krishna Kumar.

Date of order :07.07.2026

1. The present regular bail application has been filed on behalf of the accused petitioners namely **1. Chhotu Ojha @ Rajesh Kumar Jha**, S/o Sanjay Ojha, aged about 20 years, **2. Ritik Ojha @ Ritik Roshan**, S/o Sanjay Ojha, aged about 18 years, **3. Lalan Kumar Ojha @ Lalan Kumar Jha**, S/o Sanjay Ojha, aged about 22 Years and **4. Manjay Ojha @ Manoj Ojha**, S/o Late Kishundeo Jha, aged about 40 years, all are R/o Vill-Karnagarh, P.S.-Chandramandi, District-Jamui, who are languishing in jail custody since 21.05.2026 in connection with Chandramandi P.S. Case No. 117 Year 2026 registered under sections 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 118(1), 109(1), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S.

2. The prosecution case in brief is that informant Babita Devi alleges that on dated 17.05.2026, accused Manjay Ojha, in drunken state, was teasing informant's cousin grandmother and the same was opposed by informant and her brother-in-law. Being annoyed with the same, on dated 19.05.2026 at about 11:00 o'clock, all the accused persons named in the FIR itself, having armed with sharp weapons, including Tangi, Bhujali, Farsa entered into the house and started assaulting due to which informant's father-in-law Kistu Ojha sustained injury by the blow of Tangi assaulted by Manjay Ojha, accused Chhotu Ojha assaulted Umesh Ojha with Bhujali, and Sanjay Kumar Ojha assaulted Udho Ojha with bricks and Ritik Ojha and Manjay Ojha assaulted informant's daughter with Tangi. On the basis of above, FIR was registered against the accused persons.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence and falsely been implicated in this case to save their skin from Chandramandi P.S. Case No. 116/2026. No other bail application is pending against the petitioners in any court except the present one. The petitioners have no criminal antecedent. All the sections are bailable except section 109(1) of the B.N.S. which is not applicable against the petitioners. As a matter of fact, informant side itself assaulted the petitioners against which Chandramandi P.S. Case No. 116/2026 was lodged and only to save skin from that case this counter case has been lodged. Moreover, both the parties have amicably arrived at the compromise and the compromise petition is also on record. The petitioners are

IN THE COURT OF AMIT KUMAR, ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE V, JAMUI

-----**(B.A. No. 403/2026)**-----

Arising out of Chandramandi P.S. Case No. 117 Year 2026, District-Jamui

Chhotu Ojha @ Rajesh Kr. Jha & Ors. Vs. State of Bihar

linguishing in judicial custody since 21.05.2026, hence, it is prayed to enlarge the petitioners on regular bail.

4. On the other hand, learned Addl. P.P. vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.
5. Heard both parties in detail and perused the record.
6. The present FIR has been registered for offence punishable under sections 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 118(1), 109(1), 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S. Re-statement of informant has been recorded vide para no.11 of the case diary and statement of witnesses has been recorded vide para no. 4, 5 and 24 of the case diary. A compromise on behalf of informant and other injured persons has also been filed.
7. Perusal of record reflects that though the accused petitioners are named in the FIR and there is allegation of assault against them. However, from perusal of record it transpires that there happened scuffle in between both the parties due to which both parties lodged case and counter case against one another. Moreover, the matter has been settled between both the parties and in support thereof a compromise petition has also been filed. The informant together with injured persons are also present in person and have supported the factum of compromise showing no grievance with the petitioners. The petitioners are languishing in jail since 21.05.2026.
8. Considering aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case coupled with period of incarceration, the regular bail petition of the petitioners namely **1. Chhotu Ojha @ Rajesh Kumar Jha, 2. Ritik Ojha @ Ritik Roshan, 3. Lalan Kumar Ojha @ Lalan Kumar Jha, and 4. Manjay Ojha @ Manoj Ojha, is hereby allowed.** They are directed to be released on bail by furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned trial court, subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 480(3) of the B.N.S.S.
9. O/C is directed to send back lower court record, if any, along with a copy of this order to the court concerned for needful.

Dictated

Sd/-

Addl. Sessions Judge - V, Jamui

Copy forwarded to the court concerned

Addl. Sessions Judge - V, Jamui