

In the Court of Sri Sandip Singh, Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui,

B.A.No.391/2026

(Arising out of Mohanpur P.S.Case No.53/2026)

- 1.Rinku Yadav @ Rahul Kumar Yadav S/o Late Titu Yadav aged about 32 years,
 - 2.Onkar Yadav S/o Late Titu Yadav aged about 51 years,
 - 3.Nivas Kumar @ Nivas Yadav S/o Onkar Yadav aged about 25 years,
- All resident of village Sondipi (Mohanpur) P.S. Mohanpur, District Jamui.

.....**Petitioners**

Vrs.

The State of Bihar.....O.P.

25.6.2026

1. This is a regular bail petition filed on behalf of above named petitioners who are in judicial custody since 13.5.2026 in connection with Mohanpur P.S.Case No.53/2026 registered for the offence U/s.191(2), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 351(2) and 352 read with 3(5) of B.N.S. pending in the court of C.J.M.,Jamui.

2. Heard Sri Kumar Shashi Shekhar Sinha,the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned P.P.for the State.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the instant case has been lodged on the basis of fard beyan of the informant Sharvan Vishwakarma alleging therein that on 12.5.2026 one Mannu Paswan who happens to be the truck driver has parked his truck on the land of Pradip Yadav, whereupon, the petitioner Rinku Yadav came at the 'Dhaba' of the informant and threatened for removing the said vehicle from the land, and when the informant said that the truck is not parked on his land rather it is parked on the land of Pradip Yadav, then the petitioner Rinku Yadav became furious and called his brothers namely Onkar Yadav, Niwas Yadav and others, and thereafter they assaulted to the informant and his son causing serious injury to them, and thereafter, the informant and his son were shifted to the hospital for treatment.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are innocent and falsely implicated in this case. There is case and counter case between the parties regarding the incident,and petitioners have clean antecedent except petitioner No.2 who has only one criminal antecedent registered under Excise Act. It is also submitted that there is no any serious

In the Court of Sri Sandip Singh, Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui,
B.A.No.391/2026,(Arising out of Mohanpur P.S.Case No.53/2026) Rinku Yadav @ Rahual Kumar
Yadav & 2 others, Vrs.State of Bihar, Order dated 25.6.2026

injury to the informant and his son, and the injury report is not available in the case diary.

5. The learned P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and further considering the particular fact that there is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioners, and the injury report is not available in the case diary. Moreover, there is case and counter case between the parties, and the petitioners are languishing in judicial custody for more than one month.

Hence, in view of the aforesaid facts, let the petitioners be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below.

(Dictated)

(Sandip Singh)

Principal District & Sessions Judge, Jamui.